

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_120822</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Carbon Sequestration in Agricultural Soil: Technique and their Efficiency</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is relevant to the agriculture aspect of soil carbon sequestration. There are several issues that warrant further discussion in the context of "mitigating climate change." However, some of them have still disappeared, such as soil type, and chemical fertilizer. There are some issues that would require a deeper discussion, such as nutrient management. The interaction of N, P, and other elements with soil carbon pools is a crucial aspect to consider.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In terms of agriculture and soil dimensions, every single subtropic was explained. The author provides an explanation and a link to each of the previous pieces of content. The last topic, however, could also refer to the relevant references.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	That would be great if the author included more references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Suphathida Aumtong
Department, University & Country	Maejo University, Thailand