

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_120574
Title of the Manuscript:	Relevance Of Natural Farming in Present Scenario of Bihar
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The subject of natural farming in a rural environment, especially in a developing nation is an important topic of research, seeing as many members of such communities rely on farming as their basic source of income. However, this article did not do justice to highlighting in details, the benefits and objectives of natural farming, which is supposedly the crux of this paper.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title seems suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should not contain a citation. Otherwise, the abstract is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The materials and methods section of this paper did not provide sufficient information on the methods employed to collect data. The introduction lacks detailed explanation on the "objectives of natural farming". Overall, the article lacks adequate references to back up most of the claims in this paper	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Surveillance for data collection is a critical aspect of understanding translational science, which the author implemented by reaching out to members of the community and sampling their opinion via questionnaire. The sample size could however be increased and extended to other neighbouring locations for a broader and more impactful scientific coverage.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references are quite recent, but they are insufficient.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	NO	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fadoju Catherine
Department, University & Country	Ondo State Primary Health Care Center, Nigeria