

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_120708
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICO FUNCTIONAL AND NUTRITIONAL PROPERTIES OF NIXTAMILIZED FOXTAIL MILLET FLOUR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The effect of nixtamilized processes applied at different rates on the physical functional and nutritional properties of foxtail millet flour was compared with corn flour. The study is important.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is revised as 'Effect of nixtamilization processes applied at different rates on the physical functional and nutritional properties of foxtail millet flour'.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Nixamylazation of foxtail millet was compared to corn flour. While different rates were applied for the nixamylazation process in foxtail millet flour, it was not applied in corn flour. This should be explained.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript should be review the manuscript by English native speaker.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Celile Aylin Oluk
Department, University & Country	Eastern Mediterranean Agriculture Research Institute, Türkiye