

# Effect of Nitrogen Management and Biofertilizer on Productivity and Profitability of Rapeseed

## Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at experimental farm, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, Mewar University Gangrar, Chittorgarh (Rajasthan) during Rabi season of 2023-24 to effect of nitrogen and bio-fertilizer on growth and yield characters of Rapeseed variety “T-9” was used in this study. The required quantities of fertilizers as per treatments were applied. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications consisting of nine treatments combinations *i.e.* T<sub>1</sub>-Azotobacter + 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic Fertilizer (RDF), T<sub>2</sub>-Azotobacter + 45kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic Fertilizer + 15kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through poultry Manure (PM), T<sub>3</sub>-Azotobacter + 30kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic Fertilizer + 30kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through poultry Manure (PM), T<sub>4</sub>-PSB + 60kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic Fertilizer (RDF), T<sub>5</sub>-PSB + 45kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic Fertilizer + 15kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through poultry Manure (PM), T<sub>6</sub>-PSB + 30kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic Fertilizer + 30kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through poultry Manure (PM), T<sub>7</sub>-Azotobacter + PSB + 60kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic Fertilizer (RDF), T<sub>8</sub>-Azotobacter + PSB + 45kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic Fertilizer +15kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through poultry Manure (PM) and T<sub>9</sub>-Azotobacter + PSB + 30kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic Fertilizer + 30kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through poultry Manure (PM). The increased yield parameter such as number of per siliqua, seed yield, stover yield harvest index was recorded with T<sub>9</sub> (Azotobacter + PSB + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic Fertilizer + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through poultry manure).

**Key words:-** Nitrogen; Poultry manure; Profitability, Biofertilizer; Rapeseed

## 1. Introduction

Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) commonly known as raya, rai or laha is an important oil seed crop, among the Brassica group of oilseeds in India. Compared to other members of the cruciferae family, it has a larger potential for output per unit area. India's principal crop for Rabi oil seeds is rapeseed. They hold a significant position, ranking second in terms of production

and area behind ground nuts. The percentages of oil and protein in Toria seeds are 40–48% and 20–40%, respectively. To make pickles and to add taste to curries and vegetables, toria seeds and oil are used as a condiment. Young plants' leaves are consumed as green vegetables.

In India's oil seed economy, rapeseed-mustard is a significant category of oilseed crops that holds a leading position. It comes in second place to soybean in terms of contribution to oilseed acreage and output in India in 2021–2022, with 22.4% and 22.6%, respectively. By the end of the 12<sup>th</sup> five-year plan in 2017, an estimated 1.32 billion people live in India, meaning that 21.12 million tonnes (mt) of edible oil will be needed, based on a daily consumption estimate of 16 kg per person.

Mustard is rich in minerals like calcium, manganese, copper, iron, selenium, zinc, vitamin A, B, C and proteins. 100g mustard seed contains 508 kcal energy, 28.09g carbohydrates, 26.08g proteins, 36.24g total fat and 12.2g dietary fibre.

In the rhizosphere of non-legumes, *Azotobacter* is a non-symbiotic nitrogen-fixing agromicrobe that has the capacity to fix significant amounts of atmospheric nitrogen. In addition to fixating nitrogen.

For the purpose of providing nitrogen to most non-leguminous annual and perennial crops, such as rice, cotton, and sugarcane, *Azotobacter* can be utilized as a biofertilizer. pH 6.5-8.0 temperate zone soils are ideal for *azotobacter* activity. In the soil, it fixes nitrogen at a rate of 5-20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> year. *Azotobacter* application techniques include soil application, seed treatment, and seedling dipping. Ten kg of seed were treated with 200 g of *Azotobacter*.

Farmers are aware of the advantages of using poultry manure and how it releases nutrients that promote healthy plant growth. For this reason, several farmers in and around these villages frequently utilize poultry manure in their crop cultivation (Banerjee *et al.* 2019). To make an effective use of the manure, there doesn't seem to be much usage of poultry manure in the country, and nothing is known about how the manure affects crops. To reach farmers, more information on this manure is required. Therefore, the goal of the study is to ascertain how application rates of chicken manure affect the growth and productivity of mustard.

## 2. Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during Rabi season of 2022-23 at experimental farm, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, Mewar University Gangrar, Chittorgarh (Rajasthan). Soil of the experimental field was sandy loam intexture, saline in reaction with a pH value of 7.6, poor in organic carbon (0.16%), deficient inavailable zinc (0.48 ppm) and iron (1.2 ppm) low in available nitrogen (176 kg/ha) and phosphorus (20.2

kg/ha) but medium in available potassium (320 kg/ha). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications consisting of nine treatments mention in abstract. The required quantities of fertilizers as per treatments were applied. The doses of NPK were applied in the form of urea, diammonium phosphate, murate of potash respectively.

### 3. Results and Discussion

The purpose of this study was to determine the extent of performance for yield traits. This yield attributes include in present study such as number of per siliqua, seed yield, stover yield harvest index and economics of lentil.

#### 3.1 Yield attributes and yield

An The data in Table 1 and Figure 1, which were impacted by the quantity of siliqua<sup>-1</sup> seeds, demonstrate unequivocally that the number of siliqua<sup>-1</sup> seeds with plant age and peaked at 75 DAS. A notable variation was noted based on the quantity of seeds siliqua<sup>-1</sup> at various phases. With the maximum number of seeds per unit (20.40), T<sub>9</sub> (Azotobacter + PSB + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic fertilizer + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through poultry manure) was found to be present. The lowest number of seeds siliqua<sup>-1</sup> (15.87). This result also supported by Meena *et al.*, (2017), Rundala *et al.*, (2017), Gudadhe *et al.*, (2016) and Khambalkar *et al.*, (2019).

The data on seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as it related to the data shown in Table 1 and Figure 1 makes it abundantly evident that the seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with plant age and peaked at 75 DAS. Considerable variation was noted in the quantity of seed output (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at various phases. T<sub>9</sub> had the significantly largest seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (1500 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Azotobacter + PSB + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic fertilizer + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through poultry manure). The T<sub>1</sub> treatment (Azotobacter + 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic fertilizer) (control) had the lowest seed production (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (926 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> g). These findings also confirmed by Tomar *et al.*, (2016), Rana, *et al.*, (2016), Singh and Pal (2015) and Hadiyal *et al.*, (2017).

It is evident from the data on straw yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as it related to the data in Table 1 and Figure 1 that the straw yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with plant age and peaked at 75 DAS. At various stages, a notable variation in the quantity of straw yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was noted. The crop with the significant highest straw yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was T<sub>9</sub> (Azotobacter + PSB + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic fertilizer + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through poultry manure) (3790 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest straw yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of 2886 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Similar result also confirmed by Meena *et al.*, (2017), Singh and Sinsinwar. (2018) and Singh *et al.*, (2019).

The data on harvest index (%) as it related to the data shown in table 1 and fig. 1 amply demonstrates that the harvest index (%) rose as plant age increased and peaked at 75 DAS. Due

to the harvest index (%) at various stages, a substantial variance was seen. The crop with the significant greatest harvest index (28.36%) was T<sub>9</sub> (Azotobacter + PSB + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic fertilizer + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through poultry manure). The lowest harvest index (24.29%). Similar conclude by Singh *et al.*, (2020) and Keivanrad *et al.*, (2022).

### 3.2 Economics variability

Cost of cultivation fixed cost (for all treatments) is included in this chapter. Cultivation expenses for every therapy. For any treatment combination, the total cost of cultivation consists of the gross return and grain and straw yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Following post-harvest observation, the economic viability of each treatment was calculated to determine the cost of cultivation, gross profit, return, net profit, and benefit cost ratio for the toria crop. The benefit cost ratio and treatment economics statistics have been calculated and are shown in table 2, correspondingly.

Notes pertaining to economics are provided in Table 2. When compared to other treatment combinations, treatment T<sub>9</sub> (Azotobacter + PSB + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic fertilizer + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through poultry manure) had the highest gross return (67740 Rs/ha), net return (33265 Rs/ha), and benefit-cost ratio (1.96). The economic analysis demonstrates mustard cultivation's great promise. Similar result also confirmed by Gudadhe *et al.*, (2016) and Rundala *et al.*, (2020).

#### Conclusion


On the basis of results obtained, it was concluded that Rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* var. toria)" crop inoculated with T<sub>9</sub> (Azotobacter + PSB + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic Fertilizer + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through poultry manure) gave significantly higher seed yields. However, the treatment combination (Azotobacter + PSB + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through inorganic Fertilizer + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N through poultry manure) best superior treatment combination for higher productivity and profitability among all treatments.

**Table.1. Effect of nitrogen management and biofertilizers on oil content of Rapeseed**

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Number of seeds per siliqua</b>	<b>Grain yield (kg/ha)</b>	<b>Straw yield (kg/ha)</b>	<b>Harvest index (%)</b>
T <sub>1</sub>	15.87	926.67	2886.67	24.29
T <sub>2</sub>	16.40	1126.67	3130.00	26.39
T <sub>3</sub>	16.07	1116.67	3123.33	26.31
T <sub>4</sub>	16.51	1056.67	3046.67	25.76
T <sub>5</sub>	15.93	1053.33	2946.67	26.33
T <sub>6</sub>	17.20	1086.67	2900.00	27.27
T <sub>7</sub>	19.07	1326.67	3506.67	27.42
T <sub>8</sub>	17.87	1173.33	3326.67	26.08
T <sub>9</sub>	20.40	1500.00	3790.00	28.36
S. Ed. ( $\pm$ )	0.973	73.678	101.735	0.963
C.D. (P = 0.05)	2.009	152.071	209.981	1.988

**Table 2. Effect of nitrogen management and biofertilizers on economics of Rapeseed**

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Cost of Cultivation (Rs/ha)</b>	<b>Gross return (Rs/ha)</b>	<b>Net return (Rs/ha)</b>	<b>B:C ratio</b>
T <sub>1</sub>	32985.80	45096	12111	1.36
T <sub>2</sub>	33729.5	52560	18831	1.55
T <sub>3</sub>	34471.8	52218	17747	1.51
T <sub>4</sub>	32977.80	49956	16979	1.51
T <sub>5</sub>	33721.50	49266	15545	1.46
T <sub>6</sub>	34463.5	49980	15517	1.45
T <sub>7</sub>	32989.80	60816	27827	1.84
T <sub>8</sub>	33733.50	55146	21413	1.63
T <sub>9</sub>	34475.80	67740	33265	1.96



**Figure 1. Effect of nitrogen management and biofertilizers on oil content of Rapeseed**

## References

- Banerjee A., Datta, J. K. Mondal, N. K. Chanda, T. 2019. Influence of integrated nutrient management on soil properties of old alluvial soil under mustard cropping system. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*; **42**(20): 2473-2492.
- Gudadhe, N. N., Mankar, P. S., Khawale, V. S., Dongarkar, K. P. 2016. Effect of biofertilizers on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Journal of Soils and Crops*. **15**(1):160-162.
- Hadiyal, J.G., Kachhadiya, S.P., Ichchhuda, P.K. and Kalsaria, R.N. 2017. Response of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) to different levels of organic manures and bio-fertilizers. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* **6**(4): 873-875
- Keivanrad, S. and Zandi, P. and Munda, G. C. 2022. Effect of Nitrogen Levels on Growth, Yield and Oil Quality of Indian Mustard Grown under Different Plant Densities. *Thai Journal of Agricultural Science*, **45**(2): 105-113.
- Khambalkar, P. A. Tomar, P. S. Verma, S. K. 2019. Long-term effects of integrated nutrient management on productivity and soil fertility in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) - mustard (*Brassica juncea*) cropping sequence. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*; **57**(3):222-228.
- Meena, D. S., Tetarwal, J. P. and Ram Baldev. 2017. Effect of chemical and bio-fertilizers on productivity, profitability and quality of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) in Vertisols. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*; **58**(1):96-99.
- Rana, K. S., Rana, D. S. and Gautam, R. C. 2016. Influence of phosphorus, sulphur and boron on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) under rainfed conditions. *Indian J. Agron.*; **50**: 314-16.
- Rundala, S. R. Kumawat, B. L. Choudhary, G. L. Prajapat, K. K. 2017. Performance of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) under integrated nutrient management. *Crop Research (Hisar)*; **46**(1/3):115-118.
- Rundala, S. R., Kumawat, B. L., Choudhary, G. L. and Prajapat K. 2020. Effect of integrated nutrient management on quality and nutrient uptake of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) and after experimentation soil fertility. *Environment and Ecology*; **30** (4A):1571-1575.
- Sharma, A., Sharma, P., Brar, M.S. and Dhillion, N.S. 2019. Comparative response to sulphur application in raya (*Brassica juncea*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) grown on 65 light textured alluvial soils. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science* **57** (1):

62- 66.

- Singh R. and Sinsinwar, B. S. 2018. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, oil content and nutrient uptake of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*; **76**(5):322-324.
- Singh, A., & Pal, S. K. (2015). Effect of Nutrient Management on Indian Mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.)]: A Review. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, **36**(7), 429-438.
- Singh, R. P., Pal Y. and Singh H. 2020. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on growth, yield and quality of Indian mustard (*B. juncea* L.) under late sown condition. *Pantnagar Journal of Research*; **9**(2):308-310.
- Singh, V., Morya, Y. and Kumar, S. K. (2019). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and uptake of nutrients in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*)-mustard (*Brassica juncea*) crop sequence. *Annals of plant and soil Research*, **22**(4), 349-353.
- Tomar S.K. and Singh K. 2016. Response of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) to nitrogen and sulphur fertilization under rainfed condition of Diara land. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **3**(2): 5-9.