

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JABB_120567 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluation of different Genotypes of Cucumber (Cucumis sativus) for Yield and its Contributing Traits |
| Type of the Article | |

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>I have gone critically throughout the MS. One season study; strongly suggested for SHORT NOTE or SHORT COMMUNICATION or Short Research Article. Not recommending as full research article.</p> | |
| <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> | <p>Change to Evaluation of different Genotypes of Cucumber (<i>Cucumis sativus</i>) for different Traits</p> | |
| <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p> | <p>No Put data (ranges of various traits); put fruit yield information in the abstract</p> | |
| <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> | <p>Yes, edited</p> | |
| <p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>Yes, improved Needs more improvement</p> | |
| <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> | <p>-</p> | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | - | |
| Optional/General comments | <p>One season study; strongly suggested for SHORT NOTE or SHORT COMMUNICATION or Short Research Article. Not recommending as full research article. Recently, new improved variety Pusa Long Green ((DC-83) has been released and recommended by AICRP (vegetable crops) for commercial cultivation in Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar and Jharkhand during both spring summer and kharif season. It was subsequently notified by central subcommittee on crop standards, notification and release of varieties of horticultural crops.</p> <p>Apart from superior quality characters, Pusa Long Green ((DC-83) showed 34.93% higher yield (Average yield under normal conditions is 18.93 t/ha) over the National check variety Pant Khira-1.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Tarun Adak |
| Department, University & Country | Central Institute for Subtropical Horticulture, India |