Advancing Agriculture through Artificial Intelligence, Plant Disease Detection Methods, Applications, and Limitations

Abstract:

In recent years, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into agriculture has transformed traditional farming practices. One area of significant advancement is in the detection of plant diseases, where AI-driven technologies offer innovative solutions to mitigate crop losses and enhance agricultural productivity. This paper explores the latest methodologies, applications, and challenges in utilizing AI for plant disease detection. We review various AI techniques, including machine learning, computer vision, and deep learning, that have been deployed to accurately identify and diagnose plant diseases. Additionally, we discuss the practical applications of these technologies in real-world agricultural settings, highlighting their potential to revolutionize crop management practices. Despite the promising developments, we also address the limitations and obstacles faced in implementing AI-based plant disease detection systems, including issues related to data quality, model generalization, and scalability. By critically examining the current landscape of AI-driven plant disease detection, this paper aims to provide insights for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers to further advance the integration of AI technologies in agriculture.

Introduction:

The agricultural sector faces numerous challenges, including the threat of plant diseases that can significantly impact crop yield, quality, and food security. Traditional methods of disease detection often rely on visual inspection by human experts, which can be time-consuming, labor-intensive, and prone to errors. However, recent advances in artificial intelligence (AI) have opened up new possibilities for revolutionizing plant disease detection processes. By leveraging AI techniques such as machine learning, computer vision, and deep learning, researchers and practitioners are developing innovative solutions to accurately and efficiently identify plant diseases. These AI-driven approaches offer the potential to transform agricultural practices, enabling early detection, precise diagnosis, and targeted interventions to mitigate the spread of diseases and optimize crop management strategies. In this paper, we delve into the methodologies, applications, and limitations of AI in plant disease detection, aiming to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state-of-the-art and chart a path forward for harnessing AI to enhance agricultural productivity and sustainability.

Traditional Methods of Plant Disease Detection

Traditional methods of plant disease detection have long relied on visual inspection by human experts, a process involving the physical examination of plants for symptoms indicative of disease. Trained agronomists or plant pathologists conduct these inspections in the field or laboratory, identifying characteristic signs such as lesions, discoloration, wilting, or abnormal growth patterns. However, visual inspection is subjective, as interpretations of symptoms may vary among observers, leading to inconsistencies in diagnosis. Additionally, this method is time-consuming and labor-intensive, particularly when inspecting large

UNDER PEER REVIEW

agricultural fields or plant populations. Moreover, accurate diagnosis requires specialized expertise, limiting scalability and accessibility in resource-constrained settings.

Laboratory-based methods represent another traditional approach to plant disease detection, involving the collection of plant samples followed by diagnostic tests to identify pathogens or disease-causing agents. These tests may include culturing, polymerase chain reaction (PCR), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), or microscopy techniques. While laboratory testing provides precise identification of pathogens, it is time-intensive and costly. Furthermore, sample collection can be invasive and may disrupt crop production, especially for perennial crops or sensitive plant species.

Field surveys and monitoring are essential for assessing disease prevalence and spatial distribution in agricultural landscapes. These surveys involve systematic observation of plant health and disease incidence in the field, often conducted by researchers or extension workers. Remote sensing technologies, such as satellite imagery or aerial photography, complement field surveys by detecting spatial patterns of vegetation health and identifying areas of potential disease outbreaks. However, field surveys are limited by spatial variability, temporal dynamics, and resource requirements, making them impractical for continuous monitoring or large-scale surveillance.

Symptom-based diagnosis guides provide visual reference materials and diagnostic keys to aid in the identification of plant diseases based on characteristic symptoms. Users compare observed symptoms on plants with images or descriptions provided in diagnostic guides to determine the likely cause of disease. However, symptom-based diagnosis has limitations in accuracy and specificity, as many plant diseases exhibit overlapping symptoms or multiple causal agents. Moreover, printed diagnostic guides may become outdated or inaccessible, posing challenges for users in remote or underserved regions, traditional methods of plant disease detection have played a crucial role in agricultural pest management. However, these methods have limitations in terms of accuracy, scalability, and accessibility. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) offers new opportunities to overcome these challenges and revolutionize plant disease detection in agriculture.

Plant Disease Detection Methods

Plant disease detection methods encompass various techniques, each with its strengths and limitations. Machine learning algorithms represent a prominent approach for classifying plant diseases based on image data. These algorithms, including supervised and unsupervised learning techniques, learn patterns from labeled datasets of healthy and diseased plants to classify new samples accurately. Supervised learning methods, such as support vector machines (SVMs) and random forests, are trained on labeled image data to classify plants into disease categories. In contrast, unsupervised learning techniques, such as clustering algorithms, identify patterns and group similar plants based on intrinsic similarities in their features without prior labeling.

Computer vision plays a crucial role in automating plant disease detection by extracting meaningful features from images and identifying disease symptoms. Image preprocessing techniques, such as normalization and enhancement, improve the quality of input images, while feature extraction algorithms capture relevant information for disease classification. Object detection and segmentation algorithms localize and delineate diseased regions within plant images, enabling precise identification and measurement of disease symptoms. These computer vision methods facilitate the automated analysis of large-scale image datasets and enhance the efficiency and accuracy of plant disease detection systems.

Deep learning approaches, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), have emerged as powerful tools for image-based plant disease diagnosis. CNNs leverage multiple layers of neurons to automatically learn hierarchical representations of image data, enabling them to extract complex features and patterns relevant to disease identification. Transfer learning, a technique that involves fine-tuning pre-trained CNN models on plant disease datasets, enhances model performance and generalization by leveraging knowledge learned from large-scale image repositories. Deep learning algorithms have demonstrated remarkable success in achieving high accuracy rates comparable to or surpassing human experts in plant disease diagnosis tasks.

Despite the significant advancements in plant disease detection methods, several challenges and limitations persist. Data availability and quality issues, including the scarcity of labeled datasets and variations in image quality, pose obstacles to training robust and generalizable machine learning models. Model interpretability and transparency concerns raise ethical and regulatory considerations regarding the adoption of AI-driven technologies in agriculture. Additionally, ensuring the scalability and accessibility of plant disease detection systems in diverse agricultural settings remains a critical challenge. Addressing these limitations requires collaborative efforts among researchers, practitioners, and policymakers to develop robust, interpretable, and ethically responsible AI solutions for plant disease detection.

Methodologies in AI-Based Plant Disease Detection:

AI-based plant disease detection encompasses a variety of methodologies, each with its strengths and limitations. One commonly employed approach is machine learning, which involves training algorithms on large datasets of labeled images depicting healthy and diseased plants. Supervised learning algorithms, such as support vector machines (SVMs) and random forests, learn to classify plants based on features extracted from their images, enabling accurate disease diagnosis. Another approach is deep learning, a subset of machine learning that utilizes neural networks with multiple layers to automatically learn hierarchical representations of input data. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs), in particular, have demonstrated remarkable success in image-based plant disease detection tasks, achieving high accuracy rates comparable to or surpassing human experts. Additionally, transfer

UNDER PEER REVIEW

learning, a technique that involves fine-tuning pre-trained neural network models on domain-specific datasets, has emerged as a powerful tool for leveraging large-scale image repositories and addressing data scarcity issues in plant disease detection.

Applications of AI in Plant Disease Detection

AI-driven plant disease detection technologies have been deployed across various crops and agricultural contexts, offering versatile solutions to farmers, agronomists, and agricultural extension workers. In precision agriculture, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) equipped with high-resolution cameras and AI algorithms enable remote monitoring of crop health, facilitating early detection of diseases and targeted interventions at the field level. Mobile applications equipped with AI-powered image recognition capabilities empower farmers to identify and diagnose plant diseases directly in the field using their smartphones, providing timely recommendations for disease management and treatment. Furthermore, AI-based decision support systems integrate weather data, soil information, and historical disease incidence records to generate personalized recommendations for crop protection and disease prevention strategies, optimizing resource allocation and maximizing agricultural productivity. These applications underscore the transformative potential of AI in revolutionizing crop management practices and enhancing resilience against plant diseases in diverse agricultural settings.

The applications of artificial intelligence (AI) in plant disease detection span various domains, offering innovative solutions to address challenges in agricultural pest management and crop protection. These applications leverage AI techniques such as machine learning, computer vision, and deep learning to automate and enhance the detection, diagnosis, and management of plant diseases across diverse agricultural contexts. Some key applications of AI in plant disease detection include:

1. Precision Agriculture and Remote Sensing:

AI-powered remote sensing technologies, including unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) and satellite imagery, enable the rapid and cost-effective monitoring of crop health and disease prevalence over large agricultural landscapes. High-resolution aerial images captured by UAVs or satellites provide valuable data on vegetation indices, canopy structure, and spectral signatures, which can be analyzed using machine learning algorithms to detect and map disease outbreaks in real-time. Precision agriculture platforms integrate AI-driven remote sensing data with agronomic models and geographic information systems (GIS) to generate actionable insights for optimizing crop management practices, including disease control strategies, irrigation scheduling, and fertilizer application.

2. Mobile Applications for On-the-Spot Disease Diagnosis:

AI-powered mobile applications empower farmers, extension workers, and agricultural stakeholders to identify and diagnose plant diseases directly in the field using smartphone cameras. These applications utilize computer vision algorithms to analyze images of diseased plants, compare them with a database of known diseases and symptoms, and provide real-time recommendations for disease management and treatment. By leveraging cloud-based

UNDER PEER REVIEW

processing and machine learning models, mobile apps can offer personalized advice tailored to specific crops, regions, and environmental conditions, enhancing the accessibility and effectiveness of plant disease diagnosis in remote or resource-constrained settings.

3. Decision Support Systems for Crop Management:

AI-driven decision support systems integrate multiple sources of data, including weather forecasts, soil information, historical disease incidence records, and crop health monitoring data, to provide farmers with personalized recommendations for optimizing crop protection and disease management strategies. These systems leverage machine learning algorithms to analyze complex datasets, identify patterns and trends, and generate actionable insights for mitigating disease risks, optimizing resource allocation, and maximizing agricultural productivity. By incorporating real-time data streams and predictive analytics, decision support systems enable proactive decision-making and adaptive management practices, helping farmers anticipate and respond to emerging disease threats effectively.

4. Disease Surveillance and Early Warning Systems:

AI-based disease surveillance systems leverage advanced analytics and predictive modeling techniques to monitor the spread of plant diseases, forecast disease outbreaks, and provide early warnings to agricultural authorities and stakeholders. By analyzing environmental data, crop health indicators, and historical disease incidence records, these systems can identify spatial and temporal patterns of disease spread, assess disease risk levels, and prioritize surveillance and control efforts accordingly. Early warning systems enable timely interventions, such as targeted spraying of fungicides or implementation of quarantine measures, to contain disease outbreaks and minimize economic losses in affected regions, the applications of AI in plant disease detection offer transformative opportunities to improve agricultural productivity, sustainability, and resilience in the face of emerging disease threats. By harnessing the power of AI-driven technologies, farmers, researchers, and policymakers can enhance disease surveillance, diagnosis, and management practices, ultimately contributing to global food security and livelihoods.

Limitations and Challenges:

Despite the promising developments in AI-based plant disease detection, several challenges and limitations persist. One key challenge is the availability of high-quality labeled datasets for training AI models, especially for rare or emerging plant diseases and for crops grown in diverse agroecological regions. Addressing this challenge requires collaborative efforts among researchers, farmers, and agricultural stakeholders to collect, annotate, and share annotated image datasets representative of global crop diversity and disease variability. Another challenge is the generalization of AI models across different environmental conditions, crop varieties, and disease severities, as variations in lighting, image quality, and disease symptoms can affect model performance. Enhancing model robustness and adaptability through techniques such as data augmentation, domain adaptation, and ensemble learning is essential for ensuring reliable performance in real-world agricultural settings.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Additionally, issues related to model interpretability, transparency, and accountability raise ethical and regulatory considerations regarding the adoption of AI-driven technologies in agriculture, emphasizing the need for transparent reporting, stakeholder engagement, and responsible AI governance frameworks.

Future Directions and Opportunities

The future of AI in plant disease detection holds immense promise for advancing agricultural sustainability, resilience, and productivity. As technology continues to evolve and new research avenues emerge, several future directions and opportunities are poised to shape the development and application of AI-driven solutions in agriculture:

1. Integration of Multi-Modal Data Sources: Future research efforts will focus on integrating diverse data sources, including aerial imagery, spectral data, genomic information, and environmental sensors, to enhance the accuracy and robustness of AI-based plant disease detection systems. Multi-modal data fusion techniques and advanced analytics will enable comprehensive analysis of complex agricultural ecosystems, facilitating early detection, precise diagnosis, and targeted management of plant diseases.

2. Development of Explainable AI Models: Addressing concerns about model interpretability and transparency, future research will prioritize the development of explainable AI (XAI) models for plant disease detection. XAI techniques will enable users to understand and interpret the decision-making processes of AI algorithms, enhancing trust, accountability, and adoption of AI-driven technologies in agriculture. By providing transparent explanations of model predictions, XAI models will empower farmers, extension workers, and policymakers to make informed decisions and take appropriate actions to manage plant diseases effectively.

3. Advancements in Autonomous Monitoring Systems: The development of autonomous monitoring systems, such as robotic platforms equipped with AI-powered sensors and actuators, will revolutionize plant disease surveillance and management practices. Autonomous robots capable of autonomously navigating agricultural fields, collecting sensor data, and performing targeted interventions (e.g., precision spraying of fungicides) will enable efficient, cost-effective, and environmentally sustainable disease control strategies. These autonomous systems will leverage AI algorithms for real-time data processing, decision-making, and adaptive control, enhancing the scalability and effectiveness of plant disease management efforts.

4. Empowerment of Smallholder Farmers and Extension Services: Future initiatives will prioritize the empowerment of smallholder farmers and agricultural extension services through capacity-building, technology transfer, and knowledge sharing. By democratizing access to AI-driven plant disease detection tools and resources, these initiatives will enable farmers in resource-constrained settings to adopt and implement sustainable disease management practices. Training programs, extension workshops, and digital literacy

UNDER PEER REVIEW

initiatives will equip farmers with the skills and knowledge needed to leverage AI technologies effectively, fostering inclusive and equitable agricultural development.

5. Collaborative Research and Innovation Networks: Collaborative research and innovation networks comprising interdisciplinary teams of researchers, practitioners, policymakers, and industry stakeholders will drive the advancement and adoption of AI in plant disease detection. These networks will facilitate knowledge exchange, data sharing, and collaborative problem-solving, catalyzing innovation and fostering synergistic partnerships across academia, government, and the private sector. By fostering a culture of collaboration and co-creation, these networks will accelerate the translation of research findings into practical solutions and promote the widespread adoption of AI-driven technologies in agriculture.

In conclusion, the future of AI in plant disease detection holds immense potential to revolutionize agricultural practices and address pressing challenges in global food security and sustainability. By embracing emerging technologies, fostering collaboration, and empowering stakeholders, we can harness the power of AI to create a more resilient, equitable, and sustainable food system for future generations.

Conclusion:

Artificial intelligence offers unprecedented opportunities to revolutionize plant disease detection methods, applications, and limitations agriculture and address the complex challenges facing global food security and sustainability. By leveraging AI techniques such as machine learning, computer vision, and deep learning, researchers and practitioners can develop innovative solutions for early detection, precise diagnosis, and targeted management of plant diseases, thereby minimizing crop losses, reducing reliance on chemical inputs, and promoting sustainable agricultural practices. However, realizing the full potential of AI in agriculture requires addressing key challenges related to data availability, model generalization, interpretability, and ethical considerations. Collaborative efforts among researchers, policymakers, farmers, and technology developers are essential for advancing AI-driven plant disease detection technologies and ensuring their responsible and equitable deployment in agricultural systems worldwide.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

References:

1. Fuentes, A., Yoon, S., Kim, S. C., & Park, D. S. (2017). A robust deep-learning-based detector for real-time tomato plant diseases and pests recognition. Sensors, 17(9), 2022.

2. Mohanty, S. P., Hughes, D. P., &Salathé, M. (2016). Using deep learning for image-based plant disease detection. Frontiers in plant science, 7, 1419.

3. Singh, A., Ganapathysubramanian, B., Singh, A. K., & Sarkar, S. (2016). Machine learning for high-throughput stress phenotyping in plants. Trends in plant science, 21(2), 110-124.

4. Barbedo, J. G. A. (2019). Factors influencing the use of deep learning for plant disease recognition. Biosystems engineering, 180, 80-91.

5. Liakos, K. G., Busato, P., Moshou, D., Pearson, S., &Bochtis, D. (2018). Machine learning in agriculture: A review. Sensors, 18(8), 2674.

6. Pethybridge, S. J., & Nelson, S. C. (2015). Classical and Bayesian approaches to the spatial analysis of disease incidence: the example of wheat stripe rust. Phytopathology, 105(6), 782-791.

7. Sladojevic, S., Arsenovic, M., Anderla, A., Culibrk, D., & Stefanovic, D. (2016). Deep neural networks based recognition of plant diseases by leaf image classification. Computational intelligence and neuroscience, 2016.

8. Tsaftaris, S. A., Minervini, M., Scharr, H., & Nazare, T. S. (2016). Machine learning for plant phenotyping needs image processing. Trends in plant science, 21(12), 989-991.

9. Singh, A., &Ganapathysubramanian, B. (2017). Active learning—A machine learning approach for iterative optimization of sampling and feature construction. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 142, 426-440.

10. Hughes, D. P., Salathé, M., & Moore, J. H. (2019). Using deep learning to characterize the biological effects of cannabis sativa chemical constituents. Journal of Cannabis Research, 1(1), 1-9.

11. Ferentinos, K. P. (2018). Deep learning models for plant disease detection and diagnosis. Computers and electronics in agriculture, 145, 311-318.

12. Chollet, F., & others. (2015). Keras. https://keras.io.

13. Simonyan, K., & Zisserman, A. (2014). Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556.

14. Szegedy, C., Liu, W., Jia, Y., Sermanet, P., Reed, S., Anguelov, D., ... & Rabinovich, A. (2015). Going deeper with convolutions. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 1-9).

15. Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., & Hinton, G. E. (2012). Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. In Advances in neural information processing systems (pp. 1097-1105).

16. Girshick, R., Donahue, J., Darrell, T., & Malik, J. (2014). Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 580-587).

17. Redmon, J., Divvala, S., Girshick, R., & Farhadi, A. (2016). You only look once: Unified, real-time object detection. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 779-788).

18. Everingham, M., Van Gool, L., Williams, C. K., Winn, J., & Zisserman, A. (2010). The Pascal visual object classes (VOC) challenge. International journal of computer vision, 88(2), 303-338.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

19. Ronneberger, O., Fischer, P., & Brox, T. (2015). U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In International Conference on Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention (pp. 234-241). Springer, Cham.

20. Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., Courville, A., & Bengio, Y. (2016). Deep learning (Vol. 1). MIT press Cambridge.

21. Xie, S., Girshick, R., Dollár, P., Tu, Z., & He, K. (2017). Aggregated residual transformations for deep neural networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 1492-1500).

22. Selvaraju, R. R., Cogswell, M., Das, A., Vedantam, R., Parikh, D., & Batra, D. (2017). Grad-cam: Visual explanations from deep networks via gradient-based localization. In Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision (pp. 618-626).

23. He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2016). Deep residual learning for image recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 770-778).

24. Gu, Q., Chen, C., Zhang, H., Sun, L., & Ren, T. L. (2018). Deep learning for image-based plant disease detection. In 2018 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA) (pp. 6813-6818). IEEE.

25. Zhou, B., Khosla, A., Lapedriza, A., Oliva, A., & Torralba, A. (2016). Learning deep features for discriminative localization. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 2921-2929).

26. Liu, W., Anguelov, D., Erhan, D., Szegedy, C., & Reed, S. (2016). Ssd: Single shot multibox detector. In European conference on computer vision (pp. 21-37). Springer, Cham.

27. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. Nature, 521(7553), 436-444.

28. Bellem, H., Mai, V., MacGillivray, M., & Kumar, N. (2018). An overview of deep learning based methods for unsupervised and semi-supervised anomaly detection in videos. arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.04388.

29. Zhou, B., Khosla, A., Lapedriza, A., Oliva, A., & Torralba, A. (2016). Learning deep features for discriminative localization. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 2921-2929).

30. Rajaraman, S., Antani, S. K., &Poostchi, M. (2018). Pre-trained convolutional neural networks as feature extractors toward improved malaria parasite detection in thin blood smear images. PeerJ, 6, e4568.

31. Chen, L., Papandreou, G., Kokkinos, I., Murphy, K., & Yuille, A. L. (2018). DeepLab: Semantic image segmentation with deep convolutional nets, atrous convolution, and fully connected CRFs. IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence, 40(4), 834-848.

32. Lin, T. Y., Dollár, P., Girshick, R., He, K., Hariharan, B., & Belongie, S. (2017). Feature pyramid networks for object detection. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 2117-2125).

UNDER PEER REVIEW

33. Ren, S., He, K., Girshick, R., & Sun, J. (2015). Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. In Advances in neural information processing systems (pp. 91-99).

34. Redmon, J., & Farhadi, A. (2018). Yolov3: An incremental improvement. arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.02767.

35. Szegedy, C., Ioffe, S., Vanhoucke, V., & Alemi, A. A. (2017). Inception-v4, inception-resnet and the impact of residual connections on learning. In Thirty-First AAAI conference on artificial intelligence.

36. Litjens, G., Kooi, T., Bejnordi, B. E., Setio, A. A. A., Ciompi, F., Ghafoorian, M., ... & Sánchez, C. I. (2017). A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. Medical image analysis, 42, 60-88.

37. He, K., Gkioxari, G., Dollár, P., &Girshick, R. (2017). Mask r-cnn. In Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision (pp. 2961-2969).

38. Long, J., Shelhamer, E., & Darrell, T. (2015). Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 3431-3440).

39. Lecun, Y., Bottou, L., Bengio, Y., & Haffner, P. (1998). Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE, 86(11), 2278-2324.

40. Maaten, L. v. d., & Hinton, G. (2008). Visualizing data using t-SNE. Journal of machine learning research, 9(Nov), 2579-2605.

41. He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2015). Delving deep into rectifiers: Surpassing human-level performance on imagenet classification. In Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision (pp. 1026-1034).

42. He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2016). Identity mappings in deep residual networks. In European conference on computer vision (pp. 630-645). Springer, Cham.

43. Worrall, D. E., Garbin, S. J., Turmukhambetov, D., &Brostow, G. J. (2017). Harmonic networks: Deep translation and rotation equivariance. In Advances in neural information processing systems (pp. 5040-5050).

44. Maas, A. L., Hannun, A. Y., & Ng, A. Y. (2013). Rectifier nonlinearities improve neural network acoustic models. In Proc. icml (Vol. 30, No. 1).

45. Sermanet, P., Eigen, D., Zhang, X., Mathieu, M., Fergus, R., & LeCun, Y. (2014). Overfeat: Integrated recognition, localization and detection using convolutional networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6229.

46. Krizhevsky, A., & Hinton, G. (2009). Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images. Technical report, University of Toronto.

UNDER PEER REVIEW