

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_120366
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating Diagnostic and Therapeutic Challenges in Neuromelioidosis: A Comprehensive Case-Report of a Post-Natal Mother
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The case report highlights a very rare disease entity and difficult to treat case for the physician community. However, the write up and grammatics could be better and also the structuring. It is important to mention that the author has not followed the standard CARE guidelines for writing up of the present case report. The Radiological images and patient clinical characteristic s could have been better scientifically and visually.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggested title : Navigating the diagnostic and Therapeutic challenges in a post operative suspected case of Neuromelioidosis	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is comprehensive enough. NO corrections necessary	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Structure of the manuscript needs revision as the sequencing of patient characteristics should be in the order – History, Clinical, laboratory, Radiological, Special investigations	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is not fit scientifically as the essential criteria for diagnosis of the disease is not evident in MRI report , which has been used as basis in the whole manuscript. Neither are the mentioned changes pointed in MRI films or the report. 2. The Serology reports are not mentioned and evidence of candidemia not precisely mentioned. Treatment part also need some revision as for the duration of ICU stay and extubation 3. Patient Obstetric history needs to be elaborate and status of present delivery whether full term or premature and reason for caesarean section 4. The examination should include quantification of dyspnea and motor weakness as per standardised scales 5. The plantar responses should be in correct scientific terms 6. Try to attach relevant section in MRI images and T1 images also 7. Mention whether HIV serology was done or not 8. CSF for Indian INK studies to rule out other parasitic diseases\ 9. Include image of Chest X ray if possible 10. Conclusion should focus on the Role of imaging and clinical data in diagnosis in the absence of confirmatory tests 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Many texts and previous case reports needs referencing. 2. The epidemiological data needs to be consistent throughout the article from similar references 3. Refences sought for the description of pathophysiology and symptomatology 4. Vancoucer styling 5. Ref no 8 not legitimate 	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes needs grammatical corrections	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saurabh Gupta
Department, University & Country	Pacific Medical University, India