

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_120440
Title of the Manuscript:	The Performance of the Mid-Day Meal Scheme on the Nutritional and Educational Status of Rural School Children in Bihar
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides information about utilization of Midday Meal scheme by rural school children. It helps to improve the nutritional status among children and reduces the drop out rates. It enriches the education among poor children.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Minor change: The impact of Mid-Day Meal scheme on Nutritional and Educational status among Rural School children in Bihar	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comprehensive Points to add: methodology to be explained in 2-3 sentences and mention the p value in results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Sample size is large (270 subjects) helps to generalize the findings2. Data collected from different category of people like instructors, parents and Anganwadi volunteers. Thus, perception of each one regarding MDM scheme is well understood.3. Only one category of subjects should be selected for better results4. Other research study could have been compared in discussion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Sufficient	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	1. Research studies to be referred for background of the study	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prema S
Department, University & Country	Sadhu Vaswani College of Nursing, India