

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_120669
Title of the Manuscript:	Recycling Selected Wetlands' Sediments: Evaluation for a Vegetable Crop Cultivation in Terrestrial Ecosystem of a Humid Region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is matching with scope of the journal and it has enough data for analysis	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title can be slightly suggested like this : <i>Recycling Selected Wetlands' Sediments for a Vegetable Crop Cultivation in Terrestrial Ecosystem of a Humid Region</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but the content of the abstract is missing findings and key conclusions	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes,	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is providing a good comparative analysis of growth of amaranths in different sediments, and it is giving insights on the nutrients contained in those sediments. In additions, this manuscript is show the double role dredging these sediments, which is firstly recycling and secondly using it for growing vegetables as fertile sediment.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Not enough, some of them are just Wikipedia, others are very old	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	general	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pancras Ndokoye
Department, University & Country	University of Technology and Arts of Byumba, Rwanda