

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_119753
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of jeevamrut and panchagavya on growth, flowering and yield of chrysanthemum (<i>Chrysanthemum morifolium</i> Ramat.) and soil properties
Type of the Article	

Comment [GY1]: Better to omit

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is important for scientific community like plant science professionals, soil science professionals, food science professionals, environment science professionals and many more others</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable but if make the title " Effect of jeevamrut and panchagavya on growth, flowering and yield of chrysanthemum and soil properties" will be best</p> <p>Abstract is good but better rewrite after methodology revised</p> <p>The entire paper is good but in materials and method part, the study area, study sample, sampling technique, sampling method, laboratory procedures should be written as subtitles</p> <p>The paper is scientifically correct and accept</p> <p>References are recent but not sufficient, butter to add some references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality of the paper is good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper will best if the comments are incorporated</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gebremedhin Yohannes
Department, University & Country	Mekelle University, Ethiopia

