

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_119753
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of jeevamrut and panchagavya on growth, flowering and yield of chrysanthemum ( <i>Chrysanthemum morifolium</i> Ramat.) and soil properties
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is quietly important for the scientific community</p> <p>2. Minor revision is needed..... one of the suggestions is: "Effects of jeevamrut and panchagavya as biofertilizers on yield of chrysanthemum (<i>Chrysanthemum morifolium</i> Ramat.) and soil properties". If we research to investigate the yield, it will automatically measure the growth of plants, flowering, etc as the variables to be investigated.</p> <p>3. One or sentences should be added as the first sentence (s) of the abstract as basic considerations of the research to be conducted i.e. why the two materials are used? Is it common in the cultivation of chrysanthemum? What is the position of this ornamental crop economically in the country?</p> <p>4. Yes. Subsections and structure are appropriate</p> <p>5. It is correct scientifically, but it has to be explained in detail regarding the content of the biofertilizers tested i.e., compositions of macro and microelements. Needed for the growth of the crop.</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient in number. For research articles, at least, it has to include 35 recent publications (not before 2014, or publications in the last 10 years).</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Some sentences must be corrected grammatically (see the comment and improvement on the manuscript).</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This manuscript seems to be preliminary research, then, it has to be completed.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Agus Muharam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Research Center for Horticulture, Indonesia</b>