

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_120648</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Integration of Mathematics Culture and Mathematics Teaching in High School under the View of Flipped Classroom</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript addresses the crucial integration of mathematics culture within high school teaching, emphasizing the flipped classroom model. Its innovative approach offers a comprehensive framework for educators to enhance students' mathematical literacy and cultural understanding. The exploration of mathematical culture's connotations and practical strategies for classroom implementation are particularly valuable for modern educational practices. Overall, the manuscript's relevance and potential impact on pedagogical methods are commendable.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title accurately reflects the manuscript's focus and scope. It succinctly conveys the dual themes of mathematical culture and flipped classroom methodology, making it both informative and engaging for the target audience.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract effectively summarizes the main points of the manuscript. However, it could benefit from a more detailed mention of the specific findings or outcomes observed from implementing the proposed strategies. Additionally, briefly highlighting the practical implications for teachers and students would enhance its comprehensiveness.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are well-organized, logically progressing from theoretical foundations to practical strategies. Each section is clearly defined, facilitating a coherent and systematic presentation of the content.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness by thoroughly analyzing existing literature, defining key concepts, and proposing evidence-based strategies. The use of theoretical research methods and practical examples supports the validity of the proposed framework. The manuscript's depth in exploring mathematical culture and flipped classroom characteristics ensures a well-rounded and credible discussion.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are both sufficient and recent, drawing from contemporary studies and authoritative sources in the field. They provide a solid foundation for the manuscript's arguments and support the proposed strategies effectively.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language quality is suitable for scholarly communication. The manuscript is written in clear, precise, and formal English, making it accessible to the academic community while maintaining a professional tone.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i>  None	

[Review Form 3](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ojo Adefisayo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Oregon State University, USA</b>