

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_120540
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Analyses and Antibacterial Activities of Jatropha tanjorensis J. L. Ellis and Saroja Leaves Extract Against Selected Clinical Pathogens
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript deals with the "Phytochemical Analyses and Antibacterial Activities of <i>Jatropha tanjorensis</i> J. L. Ellis and Saroja Leaves Extract Against Selected Clinical Pathogens" which is crucial for improving productivity and food security. Its thorough methodology and robust data analysis enhance the credibility of its findings, making it a valuable resource for future research. I appreciate the clarity and depth of the explanations, which make complex concepts accessible. Overall, it is a commendable piece of work that adds meaningful insights to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Result section should be numbered as 3, 3.1, 3.2....and so on, but in the manuscript it was numbered as 4.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors have conducted comprehensive data analysis and validation, ensuring the reliability of their results. Additionally, the manuscript includes a thorough literature review and a well-documented experimental design, which supports the scientific soundness of the research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Improvement needed	
Optional/General comments	The Manuscript is well-written and presents its findings in a logical and accessible manner.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Umamaheswari
Department, University & Country	Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, India