

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJACR_120540</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Phytochemical Analyses and Antibacterial Activities of Jatropha tanjorensis J. L. Ellis and Saroja Leaves Extract Against Selected Clinical Pathogens</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Although the manuscript is important to the scientific community, it needs extensive language revisions.</li> <li>• Spellings should also be checked e.g grammes?</li> <li>• Correct symbols should be used for units, especially in tables e.g mg/mL, not MG/ML</li> <li>• The authors should consider presenting Table 4.3 as a figure.</li> <li>• Tables should be listed continuously ie Table 1 Table 2, up to the last table, and not Table 1.2, 2.4, etc</li> <li>• The introduction should be expanded to include important references such as "Chemical profile of leaves and seeds of Pentaclethra macrophylla Benth, JMPHTR 5 (2017) 11-17"</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title is suitable</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is not very comprehensive, especially in the result sub-section of the abstract, the authors should systematically state</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Which phytochemicals were quantitatively identified in each of the extracts</li> <li>• The inhibitory zones of each of the extract</li> <li>• The authors should not the difference between "quantity" and "concentration" and correct its usage throughout the manuscript. E.g " the lowest quantity of 20.39 mg/100g" should be "the lowest concentration"</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes they are, but the numbering of the Tables should be modified to be continuous</p>	
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript may be said to be scientifically correct after some modifications which have been pointed out above and below. The authors should improve on the presentation of some of the data such as table 4.3 Scientific terms should be correctly used to avoid misleading the readers.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The references are not very sufficient, the introduction should be boosted with recent references</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is not adequately suitable</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript can be accepted after major revisions</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Alexander I. Ikeuba</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Calabar, Nigeria</b>