

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_120540
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Analyses and Antibacterial Activities of Jatropha tanjorensis J. L. Ellis and Saroja Leaves Extract Against Selected Clinical Pathogens
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant as it highlights the antimicrobial potential of <i>Jatropha tanjorensis</i> leaf extracts, which could lead to the development of new antibacterial drugs. The detailed phytochemical analysis and identification of bioactive compounds provide valuable insights for further research. However, more extensive studies are needed to confirm the clinical efficacy and safety of these extracts.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is informative but could be more concise. Here is a suggested alternative title: "Phytochemical Analysis and Antibacterial Activity of <i>Jatropha tanjorensis</i> Leaf Extracts Against Clinical Pathogens"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	According to journal requirements in abstract study design, place and duration these 2 subheadings are missing. Aim, methodology and conclusion are written.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Abbreviations are missing after keywords. Acknowledgement Competing interest Authors contribution Consent All above mentioned points are missing in manuscript.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust as it utilizes standard phytochemical and antibacterial testing methods, ensuring reliable results. The comprehensive extraction and analysis procedures, coupled with GC-MS identification of bioactive compounds, provide a thorough understanding of <i>Jatropha tanjorensis</i> . The study's methodology and findings underscore its potential therapeutic applications, making it technically sound and relevant.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	References are sufficient and all are ok according to journal guidelines.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Overall manuscript and title is good just need minor revision to add up some points according to journal guidelines but at the end after checking plagiarism, I am disappointed to tell you that this not good at all because all content is plagiarised even abstract, including title , results and discussion.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sumia Urainab
Department, University & Country	Government College University, Pakistan