

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_120945
Title of the Manuscript:	The relationship between the ABO type, Rh factor and the 2D:4D ratio: A cross-sectional study in Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, the title is not suitable. Association between the ABO type, Rh factor and the 2D:4D ratio: A cross-sectional study among residents of Ghana	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Study design and place and duration of study should be mentioned in methodology section rather than in separate sections. Increase the points in conclusions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes subsections and structure of manuscript is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and sound because there's no such existing literature and further the inferences drawn from this research can be utilized for intervention or prevention at early stages of life for the diseases linked with the Rhesus factor and different blood groups.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references provided are sufficient and recent. No additional references are required.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revision and grammatical errors need to be corrected. For eg- in statistical analysis section it's written data were collected onto excel – which should be corrected to data were collected and entered into excel sheet sheet.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No ethical issues persists in the given manuscript, but information regarding participant's consent and ethical approval from the concerned authority to conduct research is missing.	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Surbhi Priyadarshi
Department, University & Country	SGT University, India