

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_110950
Title of the Manuscript:	Character Association and Path Coefficient Analysis studies in Ethiopian Kale (Brassica Carinata A.) Accessions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes This research is important for the breeding of this vegetable.</p> <p>No The title of the article should be changed to follow the results presented in the manuscript. " Genotypic and phenotypic correlation and path coefficient analysis for leaf yield in Ethiopian kale (<i>Brassica carinata</i> A.) "</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Scientifically correct in the results and discussion presented in the manuscript, but insufficient in the explanation in the text (for the study of phenotypic and genotypic correlation analysis and path coefficient analysis) in both the results and discussion sections.</p> <p>Recent but insufficient as a reference Lack of explanation of the relationship between the results of the phenotypic and genotypic correlation analysis. Moreover, in path analysis, the explanation of both the direct and indirect effect (through other traits) is very important. Therefore, the results of the references are insufficient.</p> <p>(Commented in manuscript)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The references are not written after the journal, as not every reference is written in the same format.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pantipa Na Chiangmai
Department, University & Country	Silpakorn University, Thailand