

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_110950</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Character Association and Path Coefficient Analysis studies in Ethiopian Kale (<i>Brassica Carinata A.</i>) Accessions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Absolutely important for the scientific community.</b></p> <p><b>The title “Character Association and Path Coefficient Analysis studies in Ethiopian Kale (<i>Brassica Carinata A.</i>) Accessions”. Need it could be refined slightly for clarity and conciseness. Here the revised version that maintains the key elements.</b> "Character Assocation and Path Analysis on Yield and Yield components in Ethiopian Kale (<i>Brassica carinata A.</i>)"</p> <p><b>In Abstarct section heading are not required and correct the language.</b></p> <p><b>Need grammatically correction and language.</b></p> <p><b>I think it is OK</b></p> <p><b>Yes; Follow journal pattern</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>I find that the language employed in the article is clear.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Overall the study appears to be important for the scientific community, especially for those involved in agricultural research in regard to crop improvement, as it addresses a critical issue in a specific geographical context.</p> <p>The need for minor revisions suggests that the manuscript is well- structured and generally meets the standards of the intended audience.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manoj Singh Sachan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Krishi Vigyan Kendra, India</b>