

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_120687
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Views and Experiences of Collaborative and Individual Research Among Ghanaian Academics: A Qualitative Research
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This title helps the community to know the latest upfront of the research community. It helps the institutions and funding agencies to decide upon allocation of funds to the research. Because of this the community / region / nation gets enriched. More and more of the latest research work can be conducted for the betterment of individual/ nation/ world.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is comprehensive in nature and all the keywords are also apt to the title.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Strong old literature support is helping the article to be in shape Data analysis based on qualitative research is having a good impact to the article The samples responses were very much impressive and it is well captured The positive impact of collaborative research on academic employees in Ghana	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. :	The references mentioned in the article are sufficient but only concern is it is not latest since 2020. Kindly add the latest references may be because of COVID the mind set of individuals/ funding agencies/ etc, might have been changed and you may get different result in the article. So, the post pandemic literature support can also be added to have more impact into the article.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is all good.	
Optional/General comments	Add the latest review of literature, and reduce the plagiarism in the article. Keep up the good work.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Geetha Manoharan
Department, University & Country	SR University, India