

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Applied Life Sciences International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JALSI_115307</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Examination of higher denomination naira notes exchanged in Veritas University Cafeteria for fungal contamination</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Important in a matter of how daily things could affecting its user health condition, especially like banknotes. With this research, readers could start paying attention to all the things that his or her hands in touch with and preventing any sickness because of something that seems nothing but truly giving impact on our healthiness.</li> <li>2. Already suitable because the title itself already telling the readers of base purpose of this research.</li> <li>3. Appropriate enough and straight to the point.</li> <li>4. Its in good formula but maybe it will be more catchy if every data in numbers or tables supported by real images beside the one that attach in appendix section. Also, the images of the banknotes each from every denomination should be attach to the article.</li> <li>5. Correct, because it stated every step and method that being used to get the result.</li> <li>6. Maybe it can be more complete if its including references from medical doctor research in the same field.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Suitable enough to make every reader (even the one who is not from said department) to understand and fully absorb the information written.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Albert Allowenda Sukatendel
Department, University & Country	University Of North Sumatera, Indonesia