

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_120555
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MATERNAL WEIGHT GAIN IN THIRD TRIMESTER AND BIRTH WEIGHT AMONG NULLIPAROUS WOMEN IN TWO NIGERIAN TERTIARY HOSPITALS (68 NIGERIAN ARMY REFERENCE HOSPITAL YABA AND LAGOS UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is important in relation to the recent studies of maternal weight and its relation to different pregnancy and delivery outcomes	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title needs to be summarized ; like removing " (68 NIGERIAN ARMY REFERENCE HOSPITAL YABA AND LAGOS UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL)" and adding the type of the study to it	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The statistical analysis of the results are missing in the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes it is	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is seriously deficient and need more care in writing, more justification and explanations ,	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes they are	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language needs a revision by a native English speaker to be more clear	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Design: " 68 Nigerian Army Reference Hospital" 68 is the name of the hospital ? please clarify this in the text multiparous women were excluded, why ? results : are not clear , no tables for data , figures are missing , even figure one showing age distribution is presented in a bar chart , while the age is better to be expressed in a histogram , The statistical analysis of the results are missing discussion: ' needs to be more explained , re-write conclusion is not clear	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suzan Elsharkawy
Department, University & Country	Alexandria University, Egypt