

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_120472
Title of the Manuscript:	Amino Acid Profile and Mineral Content Variations in Gastropod Species (<i>Archachatina marginata</i>, <i>Achatina achatina</i>, <i>Tympanotanus fuscatus</i>, and <i>Pachymelania aurita</i>): Implications for Dietary Enrichment.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is novel and highlights the importance of proximate analysis of periwinkle and Giant African snails. It's comparison of four gastropod species helps identify a better gastropod species in terms of amino acid profiling and mineral content. This study would be useful in identifying better food choice in terms of gastropod.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is poorly written English which can be rewritten to make it more impactful. A little introduction can be elaborate about the importance of proximate profiling and what impact gastropods play in our ecology.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is technically sound and it has mentioned in detail the methodology.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =	yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English can be improved and grammatical errors to be rectified.	
Optional/General comments	Introduction can be a bit more elaborate with proper flow which is missing in the current manuscript. major changes in abstract and conclusion can be rewritten to make it more impactful	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayushi Singh
Department, University & Country	Jivanlal College of Commerce and Economics (Empowered Autonomous), India