

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_119933
Title of the Manuscript:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It's important for scientific community, but based on Abstract written it is still need to revise the way to write. As a reader, the data display about the correlation between female literacy and juvenile sex relation is not clear enough. Please sharpen the result of research.</p> <p>It's more correct to have this title " Anthropology Analysis: The Correlation Between Female Literacy And Juvenile Sex Ratio In Nashik District.</p> <p>It's still need to improve, just use IMRAD.</p> <p>It's appropriate enough.</p> <p>Yes, I think. It just need a little bit to revise about the cause why this research is need to conduct in the district. It still need to enrich.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes, it is	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	As a reader, the abstract made me confused about the formulation of the research and what is the result, before we come to the conclusion. And also in Introduction, please display the theory about sex ratio and Female literacy, so we can see that this study just want to test the theory.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asriani Hasibuan
Department, University & Country	Institut Pendidikan Tapanuli Selatan, Indonesia