

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_120760
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE OF <i>Beauveria bassiana</i> (Balsamo) Vuillemin STORED AS OIL CULTURES UNDER DIFFERENT TEMPERATURE REGIMES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of this research is to provide data, information on the use and effectiveness of Beauveria bassiana (Balsamo) Vuillemin as an alternative to the use of pesticides. I have liked this manuscript: 1. This manuscript shows the researcher's focus and thoroughness in making observations. 2. Formulate an effective storage approach. 3. The results of this research are useful and allow implementation in the field	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it's	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No it's not, this Abstract is not comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they're, the subsections and the structure of the text are correct	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The methods and treatments used in the research are adequate to answer the objectives of this research	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	No Aren't	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it's	
Optional/General comments	Abstract : There is no preliminary argument regarding the importance of conducting this research Introduction : too long and ineffective MATERIAL AND METHODS : There is no literature reference for this approach CONCLUSIONS : The conclusion does not answer the aim of this research	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aditya Irawan
Department, University & Country	Mulawarman University, Indonesia