

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_120666</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>SURVEY OF FALL ARMYWORM, Spodoptera frugiperda INCIDENCE IN MAIZE IN TELANGANA, INDIA</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	His manuscript is especially for those researcher and audience which is try to find a good research article in the maze and agriculture. The manuscript is well define and completely define a importance of agriculture	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is well define and no need to add a some other title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes the abstract is well define and comprehensive and totally define the importance of paper	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes sub section is also well written and define each and every part of the research article	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is written in well scientific language and use a related agriculture terms	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Reference is totally right please do not add a more references	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language is good and outstanding and understandable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Umair Masood Awan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Royal College of Surgeon, United Kingdom</b>