

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_120570</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>From Farm to Plate: Effect of Commercialization on Diversity of Agriculture in North Karnataka</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript seems well-structured and informative. It may contribute to the scientific community for several reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It addresses a crucial topic in agriculture, examining the relationship between commercialization and crop diversity in a specific region of India.</li> <li>• The study employs a robust methodology, using both primary data collection and established analytical tools like the Crop Commercialization Index and Herfindahl-Hirschman Index.</li> <li>• The research reveals interesting patterns, such as the disparity in commercialization between progressive and less progressive areas, and the impact of commercialization on agricultural assets and land holdings. These insights could be valuable for policymakers and agricultural planners.</li> </ul> <p>The finding that increased commercialization promotes agricultural diversity is particularly noteworthy. This result challenges the common assumption that commercialization leads to monoculture farming.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, its acceptable.</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive and covers the key aspects of the study. However, there are a few areas where it could be improved:</p> <p>Suggestions for addition:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Include a brief mention of the sample size (240 farm households) in the "Methodology" section.</li> <li>2. Add a concise statement about the overall conclusion or main takeaway from the study in the "Result and discussion" section.</li> <li>3. Include a brief mention of the policy implications or recommendations based on the findings.</li> </ol> <p>Suggestion for deletion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The specific significance level (10%) for the gender difference could be omitted from the abstract, as it's a level of detail more appropriate for the main text</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>It looks appropriate.</p>	
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The study employs well-established quantitative methods, including the Crop Commercialization Index (CCI) and Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI), to measure commercialization and diversification. These indices are widely recognized in agricultural economics research, lending credibility to the analysis.</li> <li>• The research utilizes a Regression Adjustment (RA) model to analyze the effect of commercialization on agricultural diversity. This statistical approach allows for a more rigorous examination of the relationship between variables.</li> <li>• The findings are presented with appropriate statistical significance levels, including p-values and z-scores. This demonstrates a proper understanding and application of statistical principles in interpreting results.</li> <li>• The study compares its findings with previous research, both supporting and contrasting, showing an engagement with the existing literature and placing the results in a broader scientific context.</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Please add least 8-10 references from recent publications.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Current quality is acceptable but some improvements would elevate the manuscript's readability making it more suitable for scholarly publication. Suggestion: 1. A thorough proofreading to address grammatical issues and awkward phrasing.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Structure and organization:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The overall structure is good, but consider adding subheadings within the Results and Discussion section</li> </ul> <p>Interpretation of results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expand on the implications of the findings, particularly regarding the relationship between commercialization and diversification.</li> <li>Discuss potential reasons for the contradictory findings compared to Thejashree (2022) in more depth.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Rajesh Paudel</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science, Tribhuvan University, Nepal</b></p>