

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_120570</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>From Farm to Plate: Effect of Commercialization on Diversity of Agriculture in North Karnataka</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is of great importance to the scientific community as it provides in-depth insights into the impact of agricultural commercialization on agricultural diversity in North Karnataka. I liked this manuscript because the methodology used is very comprehensive, combining primary data and careful statistical analysis to provide strong and reliable results. Additionally, this manuscript successfully identifies significant differences in levels of commercialization and diversification between more progressive and less progressive regions, providing a strong basis for better agricultural policies. However, I didn't like the part that didn't explain the social impact of commercialization, which is also important to consider in the context of sustainable agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "From Farm to Plate: Effect of Commercialization on Diversity of Agriculture in North Karnataka," captures the essence of the study but could be more precise to clearly reflect the focus on agricultural diversity and commercialization in specific regions. Here are a few alternative titles that might better convey the content of the article:  "Impact of Commercialization on Agricultural Diversity in North Karnataka: A Comparative Study" "Commercialization and Agricultural Diversity: Insights from North Karnataka"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article "From Farm to Plate: Effect of Commercialization on Diversity of Agriculture in North Karnataka" is comprehensive, covering the aim, methodology, key findings, and conclusions effectively. However, a few improvements can be made to enhance clarity and ensure all critical points are concisely communicated. Here are my suggestions for additions and deletions:  <b>Suggested Additions:</b> <b>Specific Objectives:</b> Briefly mention specific objectives to give readers a clear idea of what the study aimed to achieve. <b>Significance of Study:</b> Include a sentence on the significance or implications of the findings.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure and subsections of the manuscript "From Farm to Plate: Effect of Commercialization on Diversity of Agriculture in North Karnataka" appear to be appropriate and well-organized for a research article. Here's an evaluation of the main sections and their appropriateness:  <b>Abstract</b> Aim, Place, and Duration of the Study, Methodology, Result and Discussion, Keywords: These components succinctly summarize the study, providing a clear overview of the objectives, methods, and key findings. The abstract effectively sets the stage for the detailed study.  <b>Introduction</b> Contextual Background, Historical Perspective, Literature Review, Research Gap: The introduction is comprehensive, providing a historical background on Indian agriculture, a review of relevant literature, and highlighting the research gap. It sets up the rationale for the study well.  <b>Methodology</b> Study Design, Data Collection, Analytical Methods: Primary Data Collection: Details on the study location, sample size, and sampling method are clearly described. Indices Used: The explanation of the Crop Commercialization Index (CCI) and Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI) is clear, with formulas provided for transparency. Regression Adjustment (RA) Method: The use of RA to assess the impact of commercialization on diversification is well-justified and explained.  <b>Results and Discussion</b> Extent of Commercialization and Diversification, Effect of Commercialization on Agricultural	

**Review Form 3**

	<p><b>Diversity:</b> These subsections present the findings in a structured manner, with comparative analysis and statistical significance highlighted. The use of tables to present data enhances clarity.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript "From Farm to Plate: Effect of Commercialization on Diversity of Agriculture in North Karnataka" demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its comprehensive methodology and thorough analysis. The use of the Household Crop Commercialization Index (CCI) and Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI) to quantify commercialization and diversification, respectively, provides clear, quantifiable measures of the variables studied.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =</p>	<p>The references used in the paper are foundational and relevant to the study's topic. However, there is a need for more recent studies to strengthen the research's relevance and provide updated perspectives.</p> <p><b>Suggested Additional References</b> To enhance the study, consider incorporating the following recent references: Pingali, P. L. (2012). Green Revolution: Impacts, limits, and the path ahead. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109(31), 12302-12308. This source discusses the impact of commercial agriculture practices and can provide a modern perspective on agricultural commercialization.</p> <p>Joshi, P. K., Gulati, A., Birthal, P. S., &amp; Tewari, L. (2016). Agricultural diversification in South Asia: Patterns, determinants, and policy implications. Economic and Political Weekly, 41(52), 2457-2467. This study provides a comprehensive view of agricultural diversification which can complement your findings.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language quality of the article "From Farm to Plate: Effect of Commercialization on Diversity of Agriculture in North Karnataka" is generally suitable for scholarly communications. Here are a few points highlighting its strengths and areas that could use improvement:</p> <p><b>Strengths:</b> Formal and Academic Tone: The article maintains a formal and academic tone throughout, which is appropriate for scholarly communications. Clear Structure: The article is well-organized with clear sections such as Abstract, Introduction, Methodology, Results and Discussion, and Conclusion. Comprehensive Content: The article covers the topic comprehensively, providing background information, detailed methodology, and discussion of results.</p> <p><b>Areas for Improvement:</b> Grammatical Errors: There are minor grammatical errors that can be corrected to enhance readability. For example, "Notable gender difference observed at a significance level of 10 per cent" could be "A notable gender difference was observed at a significance level of 10 percent." Clarity and Precision: Some sentences can be rephrased for clarity. For instance, "This difference is because areas identified as less progressive exhibit lower levels of agricultural commercialization, potentially influenced economic diversification and negatively affected household income generation" could be rephrased for better clarity.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nurin Fitriana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wisnuwardhana University, Indonesia</b>