

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_120289</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparison of postoperative analgesia between a femoral nerve block with local infiltration analgesia and a suprainguinal fascia iliaca compartment block with local infiltration analgesia after total hip arthroplasty: A prospective, randomized trial</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Postoperative analgesia after THA is a major concern. This manuscript gives idea about various modalities to manage postoperative pain in THA cases. Effectiveness of femoral nerve block with local infiltration is the thing which appealed me the most as it an easy and good mode to manage it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	There is no need to write a before nerve block . Instead of after, for is a better word to write . "Comparison of postoperative analgesia and suprainguinal fascia iliaca compartment block with local infiltration analgesia and suprainguinal fascia iliaca compartment block with local infiltration analgesia for total hip arthroplasty: A prospective, randomized trial"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is very much comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate Objectives of the study are not mentioned.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically correct. As both femoral nerve block and suprainguinal fascia iliaca block both are very well known methods to manage the postoperative pain of hip and femora surgeries. Volume and drug given is also appropriate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes references are sufficient and recent	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes language is simple and understandable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Age group of the patients included in the study not mentioned. It will be better if the objectives of the study is clearly mentioned	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Neetu Gupta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>M.G.M. Medical College, India</b>