

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_120065
Title of the Manuscript:	MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR ROTAVIRUS INFECTION INCORPORATING TIME DELAY ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF VACCINATION WITH TREATMENT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It is but it is not well written.</p> <p>It is.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive but not well-written. The author needs to rewrite well.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes. It is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are okay.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article is not well written. They are 12</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are many punctuations marks error that needs to correct. 2. What is the motivation of the study? 3. Some of the equations are not punctuated. 4. The last paragraph of the introduction should be partition before the model formulation. 5. The initial conditions of the system (2.1) are not given. 6. The choice of the values of the initial conditions in subsections 3.2 is not realistic. 7. Change the word "figure" to "Figure". 8. The figures captions are not well written. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chinwendu Emilian Madubueze
Department, University & Country	Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University, Nigeria