

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_120110
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibiotic use in surgical departments: A cross-sectional study at the University Hospital Center-Yalgado Ouédraogo, Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Overuse or irrational antibiotic use leads to antimicrobial resistance. Surveillance could point out the aspect of the intervention.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Abstract: Is it correct that there are 162 patients in 6 days (15-21 Dec 2021)? Abstract: This conclusion statement (Capacity-building for prescribers) is not associated with the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	<u>Methods</u> How did the author choose the department? What kind of surgery was included in this study? Is it a clean or clean-contaminated surgery? What guideline did the author compare the antibiotic use with (microbiology diagnostic tool or therapeutic protocol/guideline)? <u>Discussion</u> Why is the topic of pharmacists and practitioners' knowledge only in the discussion section?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	11 out of 22 references were published more than 10 years ago.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.8](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fauna Herawati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Surabaya, Indonesia