

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_119810
Title of the Manuscript:	Skin Changes Due to Chronic Usage of Masks in Mask-Induced Acne Versus Acne Vulgaris Versus Non-Acne Patients: A Cross-Sectional Comparative Study
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The issues raised in the manuscript are relevant. 2. The title is acceptable 3. Structure and scope yes. Conclusions are not evident from the experimental part 4. Yes 5. After minor revision yes. 6. There are no references in the text. The list of references is ok. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In general, yes. There are spelling mistakes and typos. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>After revision, we recommend publishing</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Materials and methods - add methods of pH and AST evaluation or references 2. Not clear number of participants. In the Materials and methods given 33 participants, in the manuscript mentioned "97 out of 99 participants used N95 masks" 3. The conclusions in the article and in the abstract do not correspond to each other, and do not follow from the experimental part. 4. References should be mentioned in the text 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yuliia Yudina
Department, University & Country	National Technical University "Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute", Ukraine