

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_114102
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE INVESTIGATION OF THE CORROSION PROTECTION EFFICACY OF SPONDIAS MOMBIN LEAF EXTRACTS ON AA2024 ALLOY AND LOW CARBON STEEL IN ACIDIC MEDIUM
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Reason: In the Introduction section had mention that "Organic compounds have been of major interest to researchers due to its qualities of being biodegradable, eco-friendly, low in toxicity, and cost effective. Organic substances that have been mostly used as corrosion inhibitor include; plant extracts , amino acids, and carbohydrates".	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article title is too long (more than 15 words). It's best to shorten the title, for example: "COMPARATIVE INVESTIGATION OF THE CORROSION PROTECTION EFFICACY OF SPONDIAS MOMBIN LEAF EXTRACTS IN ACIDIC MEDIUM"	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The contents of the abstract are research objectives, research methods, and research results. The abstract of this research article explains of the method used in this research and research results but not the research objectives. Suggestion: Add an explanation of the research objectives.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussions, and Conclusion are explained in detail, clearly and structured.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Research results come from laboratory/experiment test. Therefore, the analysis is valid and can be accounted for.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The article uses a reference for the last five years around 62%.	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments	-	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erni Puspanantasari Putri
Department, University & Country	Universitas 17 Agustus 1945, Indonesia