

Patient reported outcomes of surgery for senile cataract in two hospitals in North-central, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study assessed visual function (VF) and quality of life (QOL) post cataract surgery and their relationship with post operative presenting visual acuity (PPVA) in patients operated for senile cataract in two hospitals in Jos, North-central Nigeria.

Study design: A retrospective, hospital-based, cross-sectional survey

Place and duration of study: The study took place in the Eye units of the Bingham University Teaching hospital and the Faith Alive foundation Hospital both in Jos, North-Central Nigeria between June and August 2021.

Methodology: Patients aged 40 years and above, operated for senile cataract and were six weeks or more post-surgery were included in the study. Sociodemographic data and surgical history were obtained from patients and their surgical records. Visual acuity at presentation was assessed with available correction using Snellen's acuity and converted to LogMAR scale. A trained research assistant administered the VF-14 item and QOL-12 item questionnaires and responses scored using Fletcher's guidelines. Data was analysed with STATA version 16.0 using geometric mean, range, percentages and Spearman's rank test.

Results: Eighty-seven (69.6%) participants aged 40-104 years, mean of 67.2 ± 12.0 years with a male to female ratio of 1.1:1 were enrolled. Their mean PPVA was 0.6 LogMAR (6/24) which improved to 0.3 LogMAR (6/12). The mean total VF and QOL were 87.1% and 97.5% respectively. Depth perception (99.6%), visual perception and peripheral vision (94.2% each) scored the highest in the VF subscales. While mental wellbeing (97.9%) scored the highest in the QOL subscale, followed by social interaction (97.3%). There was a negative correlation between PPVA and mean total VF ($r_s = -0.4, P < 0.0001$) and QOL ($r_s = -0.3, P = 0.011$).

Conclusion: In this study population, VF and QOL scores improved with increasing PPVA.

Keywords: {Visual function, quality of life, cataract surgery}

1. INTRODUCTION

Cataract surgery is an efficient, cost effective health intervention that is intended to restore patients vision, improve visual function (VF)/quality of life (QOL) and promote economic productivity.^{1,2,3,4,5} In recent past, the global emphasis has been on improving the cataract surgical coverage (CSC) and cataract surgical rate (CSR). However, because the quality of cataract surgery provided particularly in LMIC is not always optimal, equal attention needs to be given to not only improving the quantity but also the quality of cataract surgeries in developing countries.^{6,7,8} Previously, assessment of cataract surgery outcomes has been mainly through the use of objective clinical measures such as post-operative visual acuity (PPVA) and refractive error (RE) which are easier and less time consuming to conduct in routine clinical practice. Evaluation of VF and QOL were often ignored, partly due to the assumption that they naturally will follow visual recovery. The current trend in outcome

assessment however, is to give equal attention to both clinical and patient reported outcomes (PROs) assessment. This combined approach is increasingly being adopted by researchers.^{9,10} It is in recognition of this, that the WHO recommends monitoring of cataract surgical outcome through the use of vision-related quality of life tools alongside clinical evaluation, to provide a holistic assessment.¹¹

Regrettably, there is still paucity of data regarding how clinical outcomes correlate with PROs from developing countries. Reliable evidence from LMIC identifying factors that cause clinical and PROs of cataract surgery to be less than ideal and the specific steps that can be taken to address these factors are insufficient. This study assessed the VF and QOL post cataract surgery in patients operated for senile cataract in two hospitals in Jos, North-central Nigeria and how they relate with post-operative presenting visual acuity (PPVA). Investigating the results of cataract surgery on both clinical and PROs is useful in exploring the full benefits of cataract surgery and has the potential to improve the overall quality of cataract surgical services in developing settings.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted in two faith-based hospitals in Jos, Nigeria between June and August 2021 over a six weeks period.

It was a retrospective, hospital-based, cross-sectional study involving patients who had been operated for senile cataract in the preceding 18 months and were six weeks or more post-operatively. Those excluded from the study were patients above 40 years with Secondary or complicated cataract, who had second cataract surgery, combined procedure, were aphakic, residing outside of Jos at the time of the study, whose telephone contacts could not be retrieved from their case notes or who declined consent.

Eligible participants were identified from the surgical registers of each facility and invited for recruitment on a specified date by an ophthalmic nurse via telephone calls. At presentation, all participants were administered the patient information sheet and informed consent, interviewed to obtain their sociodemographic data and surgical history retrieved from their surgical records.

Comment [pA1]: Above or below?

2.1 Patient reported outcomes assessment

The adopted Visual function (VF) /quality of life (QOL) questionnaires were administered by a trained research assistant. The VF-14 item and QOL-12 item questionnaires initially developed in India, used for a clinical trial of cataract surgery outcomes and has been validated and used by authors in several other LMIC including Nigeria.^{1,12,13,14} The VF-14 item questionnaire measures visual capacity in five subscales; general vision, visual perception, peripheral vision, sensory adaptation and depth perception. The QOL-12 item questionnaire assesses the difficulties individuals face in everyday life because of visual loss using four subscales; selfcare, mobility, social function and mental wellbeing. First, the total cumulative score for each subscale was calculated and expressed as a percentage of the maximum possible score. Scores across all the subscales were then added together to obtain the overall VF and QOL scores. The higher the value of the score, the better the VF/QOL. Conversely, the lower the value of the score, the poorer the QOL/VF. The final score for both questionnaires was produced using the scoring system which range between 0 (unable to do all applicable activities) to a maximum of 100 (able to perform activity with no difficulty at all) using the Fletcher's guideline.¹⁴

2.2. Clinical outcome assessment

Thereafter, all patients underwent post operative presenting visual acuity (PPVA) assessment with available correction by an ophthalmic nurse and best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) assessment by an optometrist. Full details of PPVA and BCVA assessment protocol has been elaborated in another publication related to this study.¹⁵ The PPVA assessed using Snellen's acuity was converted to LogMAR scale by taking the log to the base of 10 of the reciprocal of the Snellen's acuity fraction for the purpose of statistical analysis and comparison with similar studies.^{12,16}

2.3 Data analysis

Data was entered into Microsoft excel spreadsheet, imported into STATA version 16.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA) for analysis using frequencies, geometric mean, percentages, Wilcoxon Sign Ranked test and Spearman's Rank test. At all instances, 2-sided P value set at 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant for all variables of interest.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results

A total of 125 patients were eligible for the study, of which 87 were enrolled giving a response rate of 69.6%. Their mean age was 67.2 ± 12.0 years with a male to female ratio of 1.1:1. Majority of the participants were married (57, 65.52%), had no formal education (52, 59.77%), were urban dwellers (65, 74.71%), not living alone (86, 98.85%) and engaged in petty trading (31, 35.63%). See Table 1.

Comment [pA2]: Missing in Table 1

Table1: Socio-demographic characteristics of study participants

Socio-demographic characteristic	Frequency (%) n = 87
Age group (years)	
40-50	6(6.90%)
51-60	19 (21.84%)
61-70	37 (42.53%)
71-80	16 (18.39%)
81+	9 (10.34%)
Sex	
Male	46 (52.87%)
Female	41 (47.13%)
Marital status	

Single	1 (1.15%)
Married	57 (65.52%)
Divorced	3 (3.45%)
Separated	0 (0.00%)
Widowed	26 (29.90%)
Literacy level	
Primary	21 (24.14%)
Secondary	5 (5.75%)
Tertiary	9 (10.34%)
Non-formal	52 (59.77%)
Employment status	
Civil/public servants	4 (4.60%)
Farmers	9 (10.34%)
Traders	31 (35.63%)
^a Skilled laborers	9 (10.34%)
^b Unskilled laborers	17 (19.54%)
Retirees.	17 (19.54%)

^aSkilled laborers include carpenters, seamstresses, builders and drivers, ^bUnskilled laborers include house wives and janitors.

The Mean post operative presenting visual acuity (PPVA) was 0.6 LogMAR (6/24) which improved to 0.3 LogMAR (6/12) after refraction (best corrected visual acuity; BCVA) which was significant by the Wilcoxon Sign Ranked test ($Z=7.7$, $P<.001$).

The geometric Mean total VF and QOL were both above 80% while the scores for the subscales were all greater than 70%. However, QOL scores were generally higher than those of VF in all domains with self-care as the only subscale to score 100% for all participants. Two patients were not ambulant and three did not engage in social activities for reasons other than vision and so were excluded from the analysis in the respective subscales as presented on table 2.

Table 2: Mean post-operative visual function and quality of life scores of study participants in percentage

Patient reported visual outcome		Number	Geometric Mean	95% confidence interval	
				Lower limit	Upper limit
VF ^a subscales	General VF	87	73.0516	69.7464	76.5135
	Visual perception	87	94.1505	91.1134	97.2889
	Peripheral vision	87	94.15054	91.1134	97.2889
	Sensory adaptation	87	79.71435	76.2531	83.3328
	Depth perception	87	99.5703	98.8910	100.2542
	Total VF	87	87.1191	85.2738	89.0044
QOL ^b subscales	Self-care	87	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000
	Mobility	85	96.1613	93.9962	98.3762
	Social interaction	84	97.3251	94.7972	99.9205
	Mental wellbeing	87	97.8944	95.9048	99.9253
	Total QOL	87	97.52183	96.1553	98.9278

^aVisual function, ^bquality of life

Spearman's correlation analysis of the relationship between PPVA with VF and QOL in the total and subscales showed a negative correlation between PPVA and mean total VF ($r_s = -0.4$, $P < 0.001$) and mean total QOL ($r_s = -0.3$, $P = .01$) which were statistically significant. Similar results were obtained for the relationship between PPVA with VF and QOL across all subscales with the exception of depth perception where a statistically insignificant positive correlation was recorded. All patients scored 100% in the selfcare domain hence, no correlational analysis was performed for that domain. Details on Table 3.

Please add one table of PPVA and BCVA if possible

Table 3: Spearman's correlation of post-operative presenting visual acuity with visual function and quality of life

Patient reported outcome	Spearman's correlation	P value
--------------------------	------------------------	---------

	Coefficient (r _s)	
VF ^a sub-scale		
General VF	-0.4857	<.001 ^c
Visual perception	-0.3240	.002 ^c
Peripheral vision	-0.0235	.823
Sensory adaptation	-0.3319	.002 ^c
Depth perception	+0.0408	.707
Total VF	-0.4331	<.001 ^c
QOL ^b subscale		
Self-care	-	-
Mobility	-0.3130	.004 ^c
Social interactions	-0.2234	.041 ^c
Mental well being	-0.2316	.031 ^c
Total QOL	-0.3447	.011 ^c

^aVisual function, ^bquality of life, ^cstatistically significant

3.2 Discussion

In this study of clinical and patient reported outcomes (PROs) of surgery for senile cataract, visual function (VF) and quality of life (QOL) scores improved with increasing post operative PVA (PPVA).

The mean total post-operative VF score of 87.12% and mean total QOL score of 97.52% in our study population is much higher the 58.3% and 60.5% reported by Odugbo¹⁷ in an earlier study in Jos, 47.5% and 55.4% reported by Pokharel¹⁸ in Nepal for VF and QOL respectively. Several longitudinal studies conducted using similar PROs tools as the current study, reported huge improvements in VF and QOL scores following cataract surgery.^{18,12,17,16} Conventionally, PROs results focused on change in scores after an intervention.

Although our study was not designed to measure change in VF/QOL, surveys assessing single measurement of VF/QOL score like ours are not uncommon and have generated useful evidence needed to improve outcome of cataract surgery.^{19,20}

The high post operative VF and QOL scores recorded among our study population despite the average visual outcome being in the moderate visual impairment category (6/24) is not unexpected. Because the threshold for cataract surgery in our research facilities like in many LMIC is frequently severe visual impairment or cataract blindness, cataract operated patients' in LMIC settings are more likely to report larger changes in VF and QOL compared to those in settings where the threshold for cataract surgery is higher.^{19,17,21} Additionally, the visual needs of our study population is likely to be low due to low literacy level and less

Comment [pA3]: Please rewrite: In this study we found that the VF and QOL scores improved with increasing post operative presenting visual acuity among the patients undergone senile cataract surgery

Comment [pA4]: Please change it : The mean total post-operative VF score of 87.12% and mean total QOL score of 97.52% which was higher than the study reported by Odugbo 58.3% and 60.5% as well as Pokhrel 47.5% and 55.4% respectively.

Comment [pA5]: Please rephrase it

Comment [pA6]: Please rephrase it

visually demanding occupation and lifestyle. Similarly, findings of the Nigerian blindness and visual impairment survey revealed that rural dwellers and non-literates were more likely to score lower than urban dwellers and literates on VF/QOL.¹⁹

This study found that average total VF/QOL scores of participants were higher with decreasing PPVA in LogMAR scale. Similar trend was observed in majority of the subscales. Similarly, Tran¹⁹ et al also found a negative correlation between total VF/QOL in all subscales scores with PVA, r_s ranging from (-0.5 to -0.6) and (-0.3 to -0.5) for VF/QOL respectively. They concluded that PPVA was the most significant predictor of VF/QOL, an aspect which we did not evaluate in our study. But is at variance with The reports from some others authors who found a positive correlation between PPVA and mean VF/QOL scores.^{12,16} The observed variation is likely due to differences in the scoring and interpretation of VF/QOL scores between studies. Where surveys that reported negative correlation interpreted increasing scores as improvement in VF/QOL while those with positive correlation interpreted increasing scores as decrease in VF/QOL. Overall, all studies agreed that as vision improved, VF/QOL also improved.

Comment [pA7]: Please rewrite : our study showed negative correlation between total VF/QOL in all subscales scores with PVA which was similar to study conducted by Tran in which.....

Comment [pA8]: Please add the scores of VF and QOL

4. CONCLUSION

Mean total VF and QOL scores and scores across all subscales of the study population were high and improved with increasing PPVA. The use of PRO measures alongside clinical outcome evaluation provides a holistic assessment of the full benefits of cataract surgery.

CONSENT

All authors declare that written informed consent was obtained from all eligible participants for recruitment into the study and for publication of the results of the study. Participation was fully voluntary and at all times, the tenets of the declaration of Helsinki for research involving human subjects was upheld.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the research ethics and review board of Bingham University Teaching Hospital and the Faith Alive foundation Hospital Jos, North-Central, Nigeria.

REFERENCES

- 1.Desai P, Reidy A, Minassian DC, Vafidis G, Bulga J. Gains from cataract surgery: Visual function and quality of life. Br J Ophthalmol. 1996;80(10):868-873.
2. Pararajasegaram P. Importance of monitoring cataract surgical outcomes. Community Eye Heal J. 2020;15(44):49-50.
3. Lewallen S, Schmidt E, Jolley E, Lindfield R, Dean WH, Cook C et al. Factors affecting cataract surgical coverage and outcomes: A retrospective cross-sectional study of eye health systems in sub-Saharan Africa. BMC Ophthalmol. 2015;15(1):1-8.
4. Chang MA, Congdon AE, Nathan G, Baker AE, Shawn K, Bleom AE et al. The surgical management of cataract: barriers, best practices and outcomes. Int Ophthalmol. 2008; 28:247-260.

5. Danquah L, Kuper H, Eusebio C, Rashid MA, Bowen L, Foster A et al. The long-term impact of cataract surgery on quality of life, activities and poverty: Results from a six-year longitudinal study in Bangladesh and the Philippines. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(4): e94140-94140.
6. Dandona L. Outcome of cataract surgery is poor in developing countries. *Br Med J*. 2001; 323:455.
7. Rotchford AP, Rotchford KM, Mthethwa LP, Johnson GJ. Reasons for poor cataract surgery uptake – a qualitative study in rural South Africa. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2002;7(3):288-292.
8. Buchan JC, Dean WH, Foster A BM. What are the priorities for improving cataract surgical outcomes in Africa? Results of a Delphi exercise. *Int Ophthalmol*. 2018;38(4):1409-1414.
9. Polack S, Eusebio C, Mathenge W, Wadud Z, Mamunur AKM, Fletcher A et al. The impact of cataract surgery on health-related quality of life in Kenya, the Philippines, and Bangladesh. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol*. 2010;17(6):387-399.
10. Groessl EJ, Liu L, Sklar M, Tally SR, Kaplan RM, Ganiats TG. Measuring the impact of cataract surgery on generic and vision-specific quality of life. *Qual Life Res*. 2013;22(6):1405-1414.
11. World Health Organization. Consultation on development of standards for characterization of vision loss. WHO/IAPB. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/68601>. Published 2003. Last accessed February 17, 2021.
12. Monsudi KF, Adepoju F. Impact of cataract surgery on visual function and quality of life in birnin kebbi, Nigeria. *Br J Med Heal Sci*. 2012;1(3):80-99.
13. Cassard SD, Patrick DL, Damiano AM, Legro MW, Tielsch JM, Diener-West M et al. Reproducibility and Responsiveness of the VF-14 An Index of Functional Impairment in Patients with Cataracts. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1995; 113:1508-1513.
14. Fletcher AE, Ellwein LB, Rahmathullah R, Thulasiraj RD. Measurements of Vision Function and Quality of Life in Patients with Cataracts in Southern India Report of Instrument Development. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1997; 115:767-774.
15. Alfin RJ. Clinical Outcomes of Surgery for Age-related Cataract with Intraocular Lens Implantation in Two Hospitals in. *Ophthalmol Res An Int J*. 2023;18(4):1-10.
16. Adamu MD, Saidu A, Babani MA, Mohammed AS. Impact of cataract surgery on vision-related quality of life. *Sudan J Ophthalmol*. 2018;10(1):60-63.
17. Odugbo OP, Babalola OE, Morgan R. Impact of Cataract Surgery on Quality of Life in Plateau State, Nigeria. *Niger J Ophthalmol*. 2009;17(1):5-10.
18. Pokharel GP, Selvaraj S, Ellwein LB. Visual functioning and quality of life outcomes among cataract operated and unoperated blind populations in Nepal. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 1998;82(6):606-610.
19. Tran HM, Mahdi AM, Sivasubramaniam S, Murthy GVS, Gilbert CE, Shah SP et al. Quality of life and visual function in Nigeria: Findings from the National Survey of Blindness and Visual Impairment. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2011;95(12):1646-1651.
20. Taylor AE, Shah SP, Gilbert CE, Jadoon MZ, Bourne RRA, Dineen B et al. Visual function and quality of life among visually impaired and cataract operated adults. The Pakistan National Blindness and Visual Impairment Survey. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol*. 2008;15(4):242-249.
21. Javed U MK, Scot NW, Blanco AA. Cataract extraction and patient vision-related quality of life: A cohort study. *Eye*. 2015;29(7):921-925.

ABBREVIATIONS

CSC- cataract surgical coverage
 CSR- Cataract surgical rate
 BCVA- Best corrected visual acuity

LogMAR- logarithm of the minimal angle of resolution
LMICS- Low- and middle-income countries
PPVA- Post operative presenting visual acuity
WHO- World Health Organization
QOL- quality of life
RE- refractive error
VF-visual

function

UNDER PEER REVIEW