

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_OR_114590</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Patient reported outcomes of surgery for senile cataract in two hospitals in North-central, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes the paper is of good interest to the community. The authors are comparing the retrospectively the VF and QOL of the post-operative (cat Sx) with the visual acuity collected at the post-operative visit.</b></p> <p><b>Suggested Title: Correlation between visual acuity and patient related outcomes in senile cataract- a retrospective analysis</b></p> <p><b>The abstract is good as written. Few minor comments- to explain when the post operative VA was collected</b></p> <p><b>Yes – Few comments:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Was the local approval obtained? If so please include that the study was conducted after receiving ethics approval.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Not clear- what is that 18 months in the methods- please explain.</b></li> <li>3. <b>In Table 2- Round all the numbers to two decimal places.</b></li> <li>4. <b>Can you do a scatterplot of the sub-scales of the PRO with the VA so the reader can better understand the distribution of the data</b></li> </ol> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>YEs</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Please check for spelling, grammatical errors throughout the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ayeswarya Ravikumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Houston, USA</b>