

## Exploring Biochemical Changes and Nutritional Composition in Mungbean Genotypes

**Comment [u1]:** I suggest you to modify the title: The Biochemical changes and Nutritional composition investigation of different Mungbean [Vigna radiata (L.) genotypes

### Abstract

Mung bean is a pulse crop principally grown in the tropic and subtropic parts of the world for its nutrient-rich seeds. During the Rabi season of 2018/19, an experiment was undertaken at the C.S.A University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur's pulse research farm kalyanpur to investigate the biochemical changes and nutritional composition investigation of the several promising genotypes/varieties of mung bean genotypes [Vigna radiata (L.)]. The nutritional flour quality flour and other characteristics such as the overall range of variability of number of pods per plant, maturity period, yield gramme per plant, moisture content, methionine and tryptophan content were found to be 18-27, 60-70 days percent, 2.90-5.94g/plant, 0.38 to 5.68%, 0.35-0.72g/16gN, and 1.30 to 1.80g/16gN in mung bean varieties/genotypes, respectively. The genotypes such as IPM-02-3, KM-2268, KM-2328, KM-2364, KM-2260, KM-2362 of the varietal trail were found to have the highest number of pods per plant, lowest moisture percentage, lowest maturity period, higher yield in gramme per plant, and greater nutritional contents viz. methionine and tryptophan content. In general, the genotype KM-2328 is the promising and superior from all other in terms of maximum number of pods per plant, maturity period, tryptophan, and methionine content.

**Formatted:** Highlight

**Keywords:** Methionine, Moisture content, Nutritional quality, Tryptophan, Yield.

### Introduction

Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) is highly nutritive food, important feed and fodder crop throughout the world (Sehrawat *et al.*, 2021). Mung bean is a pulse crop principally grown in the tropic and subtropic parts of the world for its nutrient-rich seeds (Jedidah *et al.*, 2021). Food is necessary for nutrition since it contains various nutrients that allow the body to grow and sustain energy, as well as perform critical living activities and offer material for tissue upkeep. For thousands of years, people have eaten Leguminosae (legume family) pulses. They contain a lot of protein and are very easy to digest (Asif *et al.*, 2013). Pulses are known as the poor man's meat and are grown for human consumption all over the world due to their high nutritional value and inexpensive cost, which helps developing nations like India overcome protein deficiency (Mehta *et al.*, 2005). They contain a lot of protein and are very easy to digest (Asif *et al.*, 2013). Pulses, on average, contain 20-30% protein, which is 2 to

2.5 times higher than grains (Sozer et al., 2017). In terms of pulse production, consumption, and imports, India is the world's greatest producer, consumer, and importer.

~~Pulses, on average, contain 20-30% protein, which is 2 to 2.5 times higher than grains (Sozer et al. 2017).~~ Total food grain production forecasts for 2019/-20 are at a new high of 291.95 million tonnes, up 6.74 million tonnes from the previous high of 285.21 million tonnes in 2018/-19 (Anonymous, 2018). Pulses have a distinctive role in the cropping system, serving as a major catch cover, green manure, and intercrop. Pulses are an important part of the Indian diet, as they are one of the most effective sources of protein (Meena et al., 2018; Das et al., 2016). Pulses should be consumed at a rate of 80 grammes per capita per day, according to WHO recommendations. ~~The Fabaceae family and subfamily Papilionaceae include the mungbean.~~ In India, the mungbean [~~Vigna radiata (L.)~~] is known as moong or green gramme (Akter et al., 2020). Mungbean is an important Kharif crop in Uttar Pradesh, with a total yield of 0.14 lakh tonnes and a seed demand of 8.92 thousand quintals. ~~DES Normal 2020 (Average 2014 15 to 2018 19) Mung (green gramme) is a high protein food. It has a protein content of roughly 25%.~~ Mungbean is used in a variety of cuisines as a whole grain and as a dhal. Sprouted mungbean grain is used in South India to make curry or savoury dishes, but it is usually utilised in Northern India as dhal and moth bean. It is said to be quite nourishing (Naik et al., 2020; Gurusamy et al., 2022). This crop is an excellent source of quickly digestible protein, and it plays an essential part in providing India's protein nutritional needs. ~~Therefore, the experiment was done with the objectives. The whole or split mungbean grains are used as a pulse or ground into flour. It does not cause flatulence, unlike other pulses.~~

No Objective written here; write you own (may be)

to investigate the biochemical changes and higher Nutritional Composition of one to two promising mung bean genotypes.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

To prepare dhal and flour samples, all mung bean samples were oven dried at 70 °C overnight, chilled at room temperature, and ground in a household grinder before passing through a 20-mesh screen. Petroleum ether (40-60 °C) was used to ~~defat~~defeat the samples. The flour was kept at room temperature in screw-capped vials in a ~~desiccator~~desiccators

**Comment [u2]:** Anonymous is not important in scientific paper. Instead try to use FAO or CSA data with references

**Comment [u3]:** Citation/references

**Comment [u4]:** Make it t/ha to the standard

**Comment [u5]:** Citation/references

**Comment [u6]:** There is no statement of the problem. Why you need to do this research/experiment? What is the main gap? What you need to solve? Write it clearly

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

before being ~~utilised~~utilized for biochemical analysis. The ~~model used~~ Completely Randomized Design (CRD) was used to assess the experimental's observed data ~~(CRD)~~. For determining protein content, see (L.P. and Young, V.R., 1980). According to ~~(Horn et al., 1946)~~, the sample's methionine content was determined using a calorimetric method. ~~(Spies and Chambers, 1949)~~ ~~I determined~~ the tryptophan content of the sample ~~was determined according to (Spies and Chambers, 1949)~~.

**Formatted:** Highlight

**Comment [u7]:** CRD is not a model it is design

**Comment [u8]:** This citation is not written under references. Check it. There is no protein content data under your results also check it or remove this statement

**Comment [u9]:** Again this citation is not written under references check it?

### **Methionine content:**

Methionine content of the sample was determined by calorimetric method as reported by (Horn *et al.*, 1946). Sulphur containing essential amino levels of methionine is a first limiting amino acid of legume grains. Inadequate levels of methionine quantitative affect the nutritive value of legume protein. Therefore, quantitative estimation of methionine in the grains of different varieties of legumes is important in assessing its protein quality from the point of view of selecting out nutritionally superior varieties.

### **Principle:**

The protein in the grains is first hydrolyzed in a moderate acidic environment. Under alkaline conditions, the released methionine produces a yellow hue with nitroprusside solution, which becomes red when acidified. Glycine is added to the reaction mixture to suppress colour, which is detected using a spectrophotometer at 520nm.

### **Materials:**

- 2N hydrochloric acid
- 10 NaOH (40%)
- Sodium nitroprusside (10%)
- Glycine (3%)
- Orthophosphoric acid (Sp.gr. 1.75)
- Standard methionine: dissolving 100 mg of DL-methionine in 4 mL of 20% HCl and diluting to 100mL with water.

### **Procedure:**

1. Transfer 0.5 g of defatted material to a conical flask with a volume of 50 mL. Add 6ml of 2N HCl and autoclave for one hour at 15 psi.
2. Bring the hydrolysate (autoclaved sample) to a boil with a pinch of activated charcoal. Filter when hot and wash the charcoal with hot water
3. After cooling to room temperature, neutralize the filtrate with 10N NaOH to pH 6.5 and dilute to 50 mL with water.

4. Pour 25 mL of the prepared solution into a 100mL conical flask.
5. Add 3ml of 10% NaOH and 0.15ml of sodium nitroprusside.
6. After ten minutes, add one millilitre of glycine solution.
7. After another minute, add 2 orthophosphoric acid and aggressively shake.
8. After 10 minutes, compare the intensity of the red colour at 520nm to a blank made in the same manner but without nitroprusside.

Standard curve:

Pipette out 0, 1,2,3,4, and 5ml of standard solution and dilute with water to 25ml. Steps 5-8 should be followed to produce the colour in the standards. The 0 level represents a blank.

**Calculation:**

The graph is used to compute the methionine content and the standard curve. Methionine in the sample = (graph methionine content x 4) mg/g.

Methionine is often measured as a percentage of protein or as g/16gN.

$$\text{Methionine content of the sample} = \frac{\text{Methionine content from the graph} \times 6.4}{\text{Percentage of N in the sample}}$$

**Tryptophan content:**

Tryptophan content of the sample was determined by the (Spies [and](#) &Chambers, 1949). Tryptophan is also a limiting amino acid of most of the legume grains, the inadequate presence of which affect the quality of an important step in evaluating quality of legume protein.

**Principle:**

Tryptophan is very acidic, which results in a blue-colored derivative with p-dimethyl-amino benzaldehyde in the presence of sodium nitrite. The colour intensity of derivatized tryptophan is measured spectrophotometrically at 545nm to determine the tryptophan concentration of the sample.

**Materials:**

- 19 N Sophoricoside.
- Dimethyl-amino benzaldehyde.
- 0.45% Sodium nitrite

Standard tryptophan:

Dissolve 10mg tryptophan (0.1 mg/ml) in 100 mL distilled water. To get a clear solution, add a few drops of sulphuric acid to dissolve the Tryptophan.

Procedure:

1. Transfer a weighted 100mg air dried, powdered, and defatted grain sample to a 50 ml stoppered conical flask.
2. Shake well after adding 30mg Dimethyl-amiobenzaldehyde and 10ml of 19N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution.
3. Incubated the test mixture in a conical flask at room temperature for 12 hours in the dark.
4. Centrifuge at 5000 rpm for 15 minutes to obtain the supernatant.
5. Add 0.1 mL of 0.45% NaNO<sub>2</sub> solution and thoroughly mix.
6. After 30 minutes, check the blue hue at 545 nm.
7. Set blank without sample and repeat steps 2-6.

Standard curve:

Pipetted out 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8-, and 1.0-mL standard tryptophan and diluted to 1 mL. Following steps 2-6, apply the developer colour.

#### Calculation:

The graph was used to draw a standard curve and compute the tryptophan percentage in the sample. Using the following calculation, I calculated the tryptophan content in the sample as g/100g protein.

$$\text{Tryptophan content (g/100g protein)} = \frac{\text{Tryptophan percent in the sample}}{\text{protein \% in sample}} \times 100$$

The AOAC technique was used to determine the moisture content of the mustard sample (9).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following section describes the experimental findings on the processing, physical, and nutritional aspects of mungbean, chickpea genotypes varieties:

### Moisture percentage

Moisture content of different mungbean types ranged from 0.38 to 5.68 percent represented in the (Table 1). The genotypes Variation KM-2364 had a higher higher moisture content than genotypes variety KM-2268, which had a lower lower moisture content. Various researchers have obtained similar results, such as, Paul *et al.* (2011) who reported a moisture content of 3.85. The moisture content range was 4.86-6.16 percent, according to Anwar *et al.*, (2007).

### Maturity days

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [u10]: It is very low. Is that at dry basis?

Maturity period of ~~Table 1 shows data on~~ mungbean ~~maturity periods~~, impacted by different varieties of mungbean (~~Table 1~~). Maturity periods of ~~mungbean those with different~~ ~~mungbean kinds~~ ranged from 60 to 70 days. ~~The genotype~~ KM-2272 has the longest maturity duration, followed by K-851, IPM-02-3, and KM-2348 (68 days). In KM-2241, the minimum maturity period was documented (60 ~~days~~).

**Comment [u11]:** Refer another References and support your results by other references

#### Number of pods per plant

~~No. f pod per plant~~ Data on the number of pods per plant of mungbean ~~as~~ shown in Table-1 ~~as~~ influenced by different mungbean types revealed that, the number of pods per plant was strongly influenced by different mungbean variations. ~~The genotype Variety~~ KM-2364 ~~and~~ ~~IPM-02~~ had a significantly greater number of pods per plant (27 pods/plant), ~~and IPM-02-3~~ ~~had a significantly higher number of pods per plant (27 pods/plant)~~ than the other ~~genotypes varieties~~, however ~~genotype~~ PDM -11 had the lowest number of pods per plant (18 pods/plant). The maximum range of variability was observed for number of pods per plant (12.22 - 20.55) by Rahim *et al.* (2010).

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

#### Grain yield per plant (g)

~~Yield g/plant: A review of the data in~~ Mungbean grain yield (g/plant) ~~as~~ influenced by different ~~genotypes varieties~~ revealed that the KM-2364 had a significantly higher grain yield (5.94 g/plant) than the rest of the mungbean ~~genotypes varieties~~, and the lowest grain yield (2.90 g/plant) was obtained in variety T-44 (~~Table 1~~).

Table1. Biochemical Changes in Relation to Nutritional Quality in Mungbean Genotypes (*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek) on Physical characteristics during 2018/19 season.

**Formatted:** Font: Not Bold

**Comment [u12]:** Table is not putted after references put it in between your results parameters

**Formatted:** Font: Not Bold

<u>Sr.no.</u>	<u>Genotypes</u>	<u>Moisture (%)</u>	<u>Maturity period (days)</u>	<u>no. of pod per plant</u>	<u>Yield g/plant</u>
<u>1.</u>	<u>T-44</u>	<u>1.23</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>3.65</u>
<u>2.</u>	<u>K-851</u>	<u>1.93</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>4.67</u>
<u>3.</u>	<u>KM-2241</u>	<u>1.77</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>4.85</u>
<u>4.</u>	<u>KM-2195</u>	<u>1.05</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>3.60</u>
<u>5.</u>	<u>KM-2328</u>	<u>4.92</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>3.80</u>
<u>6.</u>	<u>PDM-139</u>	<u>2.04</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>3.20</u>

7.	<a href="#">PDM-11</a>	<a href="#">2.30</a>	<a href="#">65</a>	<a href="#">18</a>	<a href="#">3.00</a>
8.	<a href="#">IPM-02-3</a>	<a href="#">1.71</a>	<a href="#">68</a>	<a href="#">27</a>	<a href="#">5.84</a>
9.	<a href="#">IPM-205-7</a>	<a href="#">1.82</a>	<a href="#">60</a>	<a href="#">24</a>	<a href="#">4.60</a>
10.	<a href="#">KM-2252</a>	<a href="#">2.05</a>	<a href="#">65</a>	<a href="#">25</a>	<a href="#">5.30</a>
11.	<a href="#">KM-2260</a>	<a href="#">1.66</a>	<a href="#">60</a>	<a href="#">21</a>	<a href="#">3.75</a>
12.	<a href="#">KM-2268</a>	<a href="#">0.38</a>	<a href="#">62</a>	<a href="#">23</a>	<a href="#">4.50</a>
13.	<a href="#">KM-2272</a>	<a href="#">2.94</a>	<a href="#">70</a>	<a href="#">18</a>	<a href="#">2.90</a>
14.	<a href="#">KM-2280</a>	<a href="#">1.28</a>	<a href="#">65</a>	<a href="#">20</a>	<a href="#">3.50</a>
15.	<a href="#">KM-2320</a>	<a href="#">3.34</a>	<a href="#">60</a>	<a href="#">26</a>	<a href="#">5.68</a>
16.	<a href="#">KM-2342</a>	<a href="#">2.20</a>	<a href="#">65</a>	<a href="#">23</a>	<a href="#">4.40</a>
17.	<a href="#">KM-2348</a>	<a href="#">2.75</a>	<a href="#">68</a>	<a href="#">21</a>	<a href="#">3.80</a>
18.	<a href="#">KM-2355</a>	<a href="#">2.36</a>	<a href="#">62</a>	<a href="#">24</a>	<a href="#">4.90</a>
19.	<a href="#">KM-2362</a>	<a href="#">0.90</a>	<a href="#">66</a>	<a href="#">22</a>	<a href="#">4.10</a>
20.	<a href="#">KM-2364</a>	<a href="#">5.68</a>	<a href="#">65</a>	<a href="#">27</a>	<a href="#">5.94</a>
	<a href="#">Mean</a>	<a href="#">2.21</a>	<a href="#">64.05</a>	<a href="#">22.35</a>	<a href="#">4.29</a>
	<a href="#">C.D. at 5 %</a>	<a href="#">0.15</a>	<a href="#">3.83</a>	<a href="#">1.34</a>	<a href="#">0.26</a>




Figure.1. Showing Biochemical Changes in Relation to Physical characteristics

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

in Mungbean Genotypes (*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek) during 2018/19 season.

**Comment [u13]:** Put it in between your results parameters

**Formatted:** Font: Not Bold

**Formatted:** Font: Not Bold

**Formatted:** Font: Not Bold

### Methionine Content

Methionine content variability in dhal sample of mungbean ~~genotypesvarieties~~ Table 2 shows the results of the dhal sample in terms of methionine content, which is visually depicted in fig 2. Data analysis found that the methionine level of dhal samples from potential mungbean types ranged from 0.35-0.72 g/16g N. The ~~genotypescultivars~~ KM-2260 and KM-2328 were found to have the highest methionine content. The Genotype PDM-11 has significantly lower methionine levels than the other mungbean ~~cultivars and~~ genotypes. According to Dahiya et al.; (2015), methionine content in mungbean ranged from 1.02-1.28g/16g N. Methionine content ranged from 0.93-1.35g/16g N according to ~~Pandeyto~~ Pandey et al., (2022).

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

**Comment [u14]:** Add another references

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

### Tryptophan Content

Tryptophan content variability in mungbean ~~genotypesvarieties~~ in which Table-2 shows the results of the dhal sample in terms of tryptophan content, which is visually ~~describedpieted~~ in fig. 2. According to the data, the tryptophan level of dhal samples from promising mungbean ~~genotypes cultivars~~ ranged from 1.30-1.80 g/16g N. In comparison to the other types, variation KM-2362 has a significantly greater tryptophan concentration in dhal. The tryptophan level of the mungbean ~~genotypes cultivar~~ KM-2364 was lower (1.30g/16gN). Mungbean seeds have a mean tryptophan concentration of 1.2g/16g N, according to Dahiya et al. (2015). According to Aparna et al. (2007), tryptophan content ranged from 0.60-1.08 g/16g N. According to Pandey et al. (2022), tryptophan content ranged from 0.76-1.12g/16g N.

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

**Comment [u15]:** I didn't see any biochemical changes and it effect on mung bean genotypes in your results. You didn't explore or investigate the biochemical changes in mung bean?

**Formatted:** Font: Not Bold

**Formatted**

**Formatted:** Font: Not Italic

TABLE 2- Biochemical Changes in Relation to Nutritional Quality in Mungbean Genotypes (*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek) on Nutritional characteristics during 2018/19 season.

<u>Sr. no.</u>	<u>Genotypes</u>	<u>Dhal methionine (g/16gN)</u>	<u>Dhal tryptophan (g/16gN)</u>
<u>1.</u>	<u>T-44</u>	<u>0.45</u>	<u>1.53</u>
<u>2.</u>	<u>K-851</u>	<u>0.58</u>	<u>1.42</u>

3.	<a href="#">KM-2241</a>	<a href="#">0.60</a>	<a href="#">1.61</a>
4.	<a href="#">KM-2195</a>	<a href="#">0.45</a>	<a href="#">1.66</a>
5.	<a href="#">KM-2328</a>	<a href="#">0.70</a>	<a href="#">1.69</a>
6.	<a href="#">PDM-139</a>	<a href="#">0.44</a>	<a href="#">1.70</a>
7.	<a href="#">PDM-11</a>	<a href="#">0.35</a>	<a href="#">1.71</a>
8.	<a href="#">IPM-02-3</a>	<a href="#">0.53</a>	<a href="#">1.77</a>
9.	<a href="#">IPM-205-7</a>	<a href="#">0.48</a>	<a href="#">1.70</a>
10.	<a href="#">KM-2252</a>	<a href="#">0.65</a>	<a href="#">1.54</a>
11.	<a href="#">KM-2260</a>	<a href="#">0.72</a>	<a href="#">1.67</a>
12.	<a href="#">KM-2268</a>	<a href="#">0.55</a>	<a href="#">1.78</a>
13.	<a href="#">KM-2272</a>	<a href="#">0.43</a>	<a href="#">1.74</a>
14.	<a href="#">KM-2280</a>	<a href="#">0.41</a>	<a href="#">1.62</a>
15.	<a href="#">KM-2320</a>	<a href="#">0.38</a>	<a href="#">1.77</a>
16.	<a href="#">KM-2342</a>	<a href="#">0.51</a>	<a href="#">1.62</a>
17.	<a href="#">KM-2348</a>	<a href="#">0.62</a>	<a href="#">1.69</a>
18.	<a href="#">KM-2355</a>	<a href="#">0.47</a>	<a href="#">1.53</a>
19.	<a href="#">KM-2362</a>	<a href="#">0.53</a>	<a href="#">1.80</a>
20.	<a href="#">KM-2364</a>	<a href="#">0.58</a>	<a href="#">1.30</a>
	<b>Mean</b>	<a href="#">0.52</a>	<a href="#">1.64</a>
	<b>C.D at 5 %</b>	<a href="#">0.03</a>	<a href="#">0.09</a>




Figure.2. Showing Biochemical Changes in Relation to Nutritional Quality in Mungbean Genotypes (*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek) during 2018/19 season.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

## CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of this study, it can be stated that out of the 20 mungbean genotypes /varieties studied, KM-2260 had the highest (0.72 g/16gN ) methionine level and KM-2362 had the highest (1.80 g/16gN ) tryptophan content in dhal. The genotype/~~variety~~ IPM-02-3 produced highestthe most (27) pods per plant. The maximum grain production (5.94 g-per) per plant was recorded from KM-2364, the lowest moisture content (0.38 %) was recorded from KM-2268, and the shortest maturity period (60 days) was observed from KM-2320. The genotype/~~variety~~ KM-2328 is the promising and superior in terms of maximum number of pods per plant, early maturity period, highest tryptophan, and methionine content.

## REFERENCES

Akter, M. (2020). Genetic Variability ~~of~~ Yield ~~a~~And Quality Characters ~~o~~f Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) (Doctoral dissertation, Department ~~o~~f Genetics ~~a~~And Plant Breeding).

Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li, Tab stops: Not at 1.43"

Anonymous Agricultural statistics at a glance. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, New Delhi, (2018).

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.5"

Anwar F., Latif S., Przybylski R., Sultana B., ~~and~~ Ashraf M. (2007).~~;~~ Composition and antioxidant activity of seeds of different cultivars of mung bean. *J Food Sci*,72, 503-510.

Formatted: Font: Italic

A.O.A.C. Official methods of analysis of association of official agricultural chemist. 10th Ed. 744, (1965).

A.O.A.C. Official methods of analysis: Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington.

Comment [u16]: Write all the references according to the style of the journal.

Aparna, K., Munjal, S.V. and Kale, A.A. (2007).~~;~~ Evaluation of nutritional composition of grains and leaf nitrate reductase activity in different maturity groups of pigeon pea. *Journal of food and legumes* ~~;~~*legumes*, 20(2):176-178.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Asif, M., Rooney, L. W., Ali, R., ~~and~~ Riaz, M. N. (2013). Application and opportunities of pulses in food system: a review. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition*, 53(11); 1168-1179.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Dahiya, P. K., Linnemann, A. R., Van Boekel, M. A. J. S., Khetarpaul, N., Grewal, R. B., ~~and~~ Nout, M. J. R. (2015). Mung bean~~;~~ Technological and nutritional potential. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition*, 55(5); 670-688.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Das, A., Babu, S., Yadav, G. S., Ansari, M. A., Singh, R., Baishya, L. K., ~~and~~ Ngachan, S. V. (2016). Status and strategies for pulses production for food and nutritional security in north-eastern region of India. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 61; 43-57.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Gurusamy, S., Vidhya, C. S., Khasherao, B. Y., ~~and~~ Shanmugam, A. (2022). Pulses for health and their varied ways of processing and consumption in India-A review. *Applied Food Research*, 100171.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Jedidah Wangari Mwangi, Oduor Richard Okoth, Muchemi Peterson Kariuki and Ngugi Mathew Piero (2021). Genetic and phenotypic diversity of selected Kenyan mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) genotypes. *Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology*, 19:142.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: Not at 1.43"

Meena, R. S., Das, A., Yadav, G. S., ~~and~~ Lal, R., ~~(Eds.)~~. (2018). Legumes for soil health and sustainable management (p. 541). Singapore: Springer.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Font color: Custom Color(RGB(19,20,19)), Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.5"

Mehta s. L., ~~S~~sautha I. M. ~~and~~ lodha m. L. (2005).: Nutritional quality ~~—~~of grain legume Food legume of National Security and Sustainable Agriculture IFLRC-W. 7; 18-22.

Mohan Naik, G., Abhirami, P., ~~and~~ Venkatachalapathy, N. (2020). Mung Bean. Pulses: Processing and Product Development, 213-228.

Paul T., Mazumbar N. H. M. R., Sayeed M. A., and Akhtaruzzaman M. A. (2011). Proximate compositions, mineral contents and determination of protease activity from green gram. *Bangladesh Res Pub J.* 5:207-13.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Pandey, S., and Kendurkar, P. S. (2022). Protein, methionine and tryptophan content of food legumes as influenced by processing treatments.

Rahim, M. A., Mia, A. A., Mahmud, F., Zeba, N., and Afrin, K. S. (2010). Genetic variability, character association and genetic divergence in Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). *Plant Omics*, 3(1): 1-6.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.5", Space After: 10 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: 1.43", Left

Formatted: Font: Italic

Sehrawat N, Yadav M, Sharma AK, Kumar S, Singh M, Kumar V, Kumar V, Rakesh, Sharma P, Singh R. (2021). Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) as Functional Food, Agronomic Importance and Breeding Approach for Development of Climate Resilience: Current Status and Future Perspectives. *Asian J Biol Life Sci.*, 10(1):87-92.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.5"

Sozer, N., Holopainen- Mantila, U., and Poutanen, K. (2017). Traditional and new food uses of pulses. *Cereal Chemistry*, 94(1):66-73.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Spies J. and Chamber D. C. (1949).: Chemical determination of tryptophan in protein. *Analyst Chem*, 21: 12-49.

**Table1. Biochemical Changes in Relation to Nutritional Quality in Mungbean Genotypes (*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek) on Physical characteristics.**

Formatted: Justified

Sr.no:	Varieties/genotypes	Moisture(%)	Maturity period (days)	no. of pod per plant	Yield g/plant
1.	T-44	1.23	67	21	3.65
2.	K-851	1.93	68	23	4.67
3.	KM-2241	1.77	60	24	4.85
4.	KM-2195	1.05	65	20	3.60
5.	KM-2328	4.92	60	21	3.80
6.	PDM-139	2.04	60	19	3.20
7.	PDM-11	2.30	65	18	3.00

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight


Formatted: Highlight

8.	IPM 02-3	1.71	68	27	5.84
9.	IPM 205-7	1.82	60	24	4.60
10.	KM 2252	2.05	65	25	5.30
11.	KM 2260	1.66	60	21	3.75
12.	KM 2268	0.38	62	23	4.50
13.	KM 2272	2.94	70	18	2.90
14.	KM 2280	1.28	65	20	3.50
15.	KM 2320	3.34	60	26	5.68
16.	KM 2342	2.20	65	23	4.40
17.	KM 2348	2.75	68	21	3.80
18.	KM 2355	2.36	62	24	4.90
19.	KM 2362	0.90	66	22	4.10
20.	KM 2364	5.68	65	27	5.94
	Mean	2.21	64.05	22.35	4.29
	C.D. at 5 %	0.15	3.83	1.34	0.26

**TABLE 2— Biochemical Changes in Relation to Nutritional Quality in Mungbean Genotypes (*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek) on Nutritional characteristics**

Sr. no.	Varieties/genotypes	Dhal-methionine (g/16gN)	Dhal-tryptophan (g/16gN)
1.	T 44	0.45	1.53
2.	K 851	0.58	1.42
3.	KM 2241	0.60	1.61
4.	KM 2195	0.45	1.66
5.	KM 2328	0.70	1.69
6.	PDM 139	0.44	1.70
7.	PDM 11	0.35	1.71
8.	IPM 02-3	0.53	1.77
9.	IPM 205-7	0.48	1.70
10.	KM 2252	0.65	1.54
11.	KM 2260	0.72	1.67


12.	KM 2268	0.55	1.78
13.	KM 2272	0.43	1.74
14.	KM 2280	0.41	1.62
15.	KM 2320	0.38	1.77
16.	KM 2342	0.51	1.62
17.	KM 2348	0.62	1.69
18.	KM 2355	0.47	1.53
19.	KM 2362	0.53	1.80
20.	KM 2364	0.58	1.30
	— Mean	0.52	1.64
	C.D at 5 %	0.03	0.09



Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Figure.1. Showing Biochemical Changes in Relation to Physical characteristics in Mungbean Genotypes (*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek).

Comment [u17]: Put it in between your results parameters



~~Figure.2. Showing Biochemical Changes in Relation to Nutritional Quality in Mungbean Genotypes (*Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek).~~

UNDER PEER REVIEW

UNDER PEER REVIEW

UNDER PEER REVIEW