

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_114839
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring Biochemical Changes and Nutritional Composition in Mungbean Genotypes
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is important</p> <p>2. Yes, its title is suitable for the scientific community</p> <p>3. As per the results and discussions of the manuscript, it might be considered as comprehensive. However, it needs to include the main objective of the manuscript. Even, the significance level of the parameters should be discussed.</p> <p>4. Not enough. The method of data analysis and the authors' recommendation is not clearly and separately included.</p> <p>5. It is not that much correct because the structure of the manuscript is not following the scientific approach and even the specific objective is not specified under its introduction part.</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient and recent, that is most of them are too old. While citing, it is recommendable to use the latest five years citations.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. It needs some sort of revision</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The objective of the manuscript needs to be included under its introductory part. Finally, the data analysis approaches should be specifically included and clearly discussed under the material and methods section of the manuscript. The recommendation of the author should be separately specified.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gedifew Gebrie Muchie
Department, University & Country	Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Ethiopia