

ReviewForm 1.7

Journal Name:	JournalofGeography,EnvironmentandEarthScience International
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JGEESI_114719
TitleoftheManuscript:	LinkingUrbanPlanning,QualityofLifeandSaudiVision 2030:TheStructuralModelAssessment
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

PART 1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreedwith reviewer,correct themanuscriptandhighlightthatpart inthe manuscript. Itismandatory thatauthors shouldwrite his/herfeedback here)
<p>CompulsoryREVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is themanuscriptimportantforscientific community? (Pleasewrite fewsentenceson this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the titleofthe article suitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)</p> <p>3. Is theabstractofthe articlecomprehensive?</p> <p>4. Aresubsectionsandstructureof themanuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think themanuscriptisscientificallycorrect?</p> <p>6. Arethereferences sufficientand recent? Ifyou have suggestion ofadditionalreferences,pleasemention in the reviewform.</p> <p><u>(Apartfromabovementioned6points,reviewersarefreetoprovideadditional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript titled "Linking Urban Planning, Quality of Life and Saudi Vision 2030: The Structural Model Assessment" addresses a crucial intersection between urban development, quality of life, and the ambitious goals outlined in Saudi Vision 2030. By focusing on the city of Riyadh, the research fills a significant gap in understanding how the built environment impacts urban residents' quality of life within the context of a rapidly evolving urban landscape. This empirical study not only sheds light on the current state of urban development but also provides actionable insights for policymakers and urban planners to enhance the well-being of residents while aligning with the overarching goals of Vision 2030. Therefore, the manuscript holds importance for the scientific community by offering valuable contributions to the field of urban planning, sustainable development, and policy implementation in the Saudi Arabian context. My suggestion for this title is Urban Development in Riyadh: Aligning with Saudi Vision 2030 for Enhanced Quality of Life. The abstract of the article provides a comprehensive overview of the research conducted, including the background, objectives, methodology, and key findings. It effectively outlines the significance of the study in the context of Saudi Vision 2030 and the need to understand the role of the built environment in enhancing urban quality of life. Additionally, it highlights the research design, data collection process, and the implications of the findings for urban planning and policy-making. Overall, the abstract provides a clear and concise summary of the research, making it comprehensive for readers seeking an understanding of the study's scope and contributions. No, many aspects need improvement, particularly in supporting the arguments. The discussion section is weak and lacks relevance to the research objectives. Yes No. The authors need to provide the most recent references, ideally within the past 5 years. 	
<p>MinorREVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language in the article needs improvement, and it may be beneficial to consider hiring a certified expert to review and enhance the language quality.</p>	

ReviewForm 1.7

Optional/General comments	Comment to the author: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It's important to maintain consistent capitalization for proper nouns throughout your article. This will improve readability and ensure grammatical correctness. 2. Consider incorporating recent research findings and relevant articles into your discussion. This will enhance the credibility and depth of your content, making it more relevant to current discourse. 3. Please make sure to include the latest references in your discussion. This will provide up-to-date support for your points and enhance the overall quality of your article. 4. The content of your discussion should better align with the established objectives. Strengthening this alignment will improve the clarity and effectiveness of your article. 	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nik Norliati Fitri Md Nor
Department, University & Country	School of Distance Education, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia