

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_114545</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Automated Marking System for Essay Questions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research oriented</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Automated marking systems can significantly reduce the time and effort required for grading large volumes of essay questions, making it more feasible for educators to provide detailed feedback and focus on instructional activities. So, this article is suitable for scientific community.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, but need to be more in-depth.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The references are relevant to the topic.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The article contents required more eloquent.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Poor writing can hinder effective communication and lead to misunderstandings including Clarity and precision. So, the grammar, precision, and sentences give rise to a good clarity in text communication. The contents of the article requires more convergent toward the clarity with precision. In addition, a research template in Latex is expected.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Swapan Debbarma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Institute of Technology, India</b>