

ReviewForm1.7

JournalName:	InternationalJournalofEnvironmentandClimateChange
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJECC_115368
TitleoftheManuscript:	DevelopmentandStandardizationofaClimateVulnerabilityIndextoMeasuretheVulnerabilityIndicesofPaddyGrowers
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

)

ReviewForm1.7

PART 1: ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is, more than this, it is an important and current topic which raises awareness on this very important topic.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is a very suitable title.</p> <p>3. Yes, it is, but it could be a little bit more developed.</p> <p>4. They are all very well organized and highlight well the intended purpose.</p> <p>5. Yes, I think it is correct.</p> <p>6. References could include more titles, I even recommend to include more.</p> <p>I believe the scientific manuscript is very well written, but I would develop more chapter Conclusions. After so very well presented in methods and Results, Conclusions is very poor. I recommend developing Conclusions.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes it is	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pascalau Raul
Department, University & Country	University of Life Sciences " King Mihai I", Romania