

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_114807
Title of the Manuscript:	Leveraging AI for Enhanced Quality Assurance in Medical Device Manufacturing
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>ok</p> <p>To some extent</p> <p>Information in paper is collection of all papers details.</p> <p>Format of references should be uniform.</p> <p>The paper Describes the concept in more general way. In section 2 applications are mentioned about AI but not explained with respect to specific outcomes. In Section 3 Quality management is described, but what are the parameters describing quality management is not given and AI incorporation is also not mentioned properly. In section 5 what are the optimizing variables for supply chain There is no heading for section 6, directly 6.1 is started. In section 7 issues are different from challenges Conclusion and future perspective should be more specific to topic. Figures are not aligned properly Overall even if it is review paper also, there should be survey data or some prototype examples.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V S Vijaya Krishna V
Department, University & Country	Presidency University, India