

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_114929
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical analysis of TIC syndrome: About 60 cases
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript on the clinical analysis of TIC syndrome is significant for the scientific community as it investigates the efficacy of traditional Chinese medicine and massage therapy in treating paediatric TIC disorder. This study not only provides valuable insights into alternative treatment methods but also offers clinical evidence supporting the combined use of massage and Chinese medicine in managing TIC symptoms. With the rising incidence of TIC disorders among children, this research contributes to expanding treatment options and improving outcomes for affected individuals.</p> <p>NO, CAN BE MODIFIED LIKE THIS "Effective Treatment Approaches for TIC Syndrome: A Clinical Analysis of 60 Cases"</p> <p>To make the abstract more concise without losing clarity, Some sentences can be streamlined to improve readability.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript includes appropriate subsections and follows a structured format, which enhances readability and organization. It begins with an introduction providing background information on TIC syndrome, followed by sections on data and methods, results, discussion, and conclusion.</p> <p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct based on the information provided. It includes a comprehensive analysis of TIC syndrome, discussing its characteristics, differential diagnosis, underlying causes such as dopamine action, various treatment modalities including general treatment, medication, mental intervention, Chinese traditional treatment, and other therapies. Moreover, the study design, methodology, and results are presented clearly, along with statistical analysis, which adds to the scientific credibility of the findings.</p> <p>Current impact of mixed treatment reviews can be added</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are instances of grammatical errors and awkward phrasing that could be improved for better readability and professional presentation. Additionally, some sentences may require clarification or rephrasing to enhance precision and accuracy. For basic scholarly standards, further editing and refinement may be beneficial to elevate the clarity and professionalism of the article.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bemina Ja
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India