

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_115209
Title of the Manuscript:	AN INTERESTING CASE OF INTESTINAL OBSTRUCTION IN ACUTE ABDOMINAL COCOONING AND LITERATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	CASE REPORT

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The topic is definitely important for scientific community. However, the representation of case has not followed CARE or standard checklist. Hence, scientific message is not appropriately conveyed.</p> <p>2. Title is relevant. However, abdominal cocoon is syndrome and one of the rare causes of intestinal obstruction. Authors may rephrase "Acute intestinal obstruction in Abdominal Cocoon Syndrome: A Case report and Literature Review" for better clarity.</p> <p>3. Article abstract is <i>incomplete</i> without any message to readers. In addition, there are grammatical errors in every sentence. Hence, the abstract is not comprehensive.</p> <p>4. ONLY the subject heading is appropriate i.e. Introduction followed by case details and discussion. Content and logical flow of the case is lacking.</p> <p>5. Figures: figures are represented without any arrow mark of where to look.</p> <p>6. The article has no formal writing style. Hence it is not readable by scientific community.</p> <p>7. Topic of the case report is scientific. However, it is not clear what this case is adding to literature. Arguably, that may be due to lack of clear representation of case and its discussion.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English <i>quality are very poor</i> as of now. Authors may need to rewrite all the sections.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ramesh Lamichhane</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nepal</b>