

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJGMB_115198</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>NEUTROPHIL-LYMPHOCYTE AND PLATELET-LYMPHOCYTE RATIOS OF PREGNANT WOMEN LIVING WITH HIV/AIDS.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but it is important to document governance approval and consent in the abstract</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes except, it is pivotal to include consent and approval to undertake the project as well as to declare the source of funding for the project</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is alright but I made one highlighted spelling correction as illustrated</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>This is a well written article. Nevertheless, the articles the ensuing:</b></p> <p><b>[1] statement of consent- consent is required before undertaking research like this and without clearly documenting this the article should not be published but if consent was obtained it should be included in the abstract and in the text.</b></p> <p><b>[2] Governance approval – Did the authors obtain approval from a committee of their institution to undertake the research project? Approval for the undertaking of the research is required without which it would be advisable to publish the article but if approval was obtained then the article could be published. If approval was not obtained, then the authors should apologize to the Governance team and obtain a retrospective approval to ensure they did nothing wrong.</b></p> <p><b>[3] Acknowledgements – the authors should thank any individual or group that supported them who are not co-authors</b></p> <p><b>[4] Documentation of the role played by each co-author in the write up of the article is recommended.</b></p> <p><b>Once the aforementioned points have been clarified and included in the text then the article could be published.</b></p> <p><b>[5] Spelling of one word was corrected</b></p> <p><b>[6] The source of funding for the research project needs to be included. Who funded the blood tests?</b></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>North Manchester General Hospital, United Kingdom</b>