

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_115242
Title of the Manuscript:	Critical Analysis of Group Dynamics Effectiveness of Farmer Producer Organisations (FPOs) Members in Assam, India
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes the manuscript is very much important and helpful for scientific community especially for agriculture sector in economic point of view. This will help us to understand the challenges and outcomes to cope with agriculture practices and to find out the way by which productivity can be enhanced. The nature of survey work is highly appreciated. Yes the title of the article is suitable and reasonable. Abstract is the theme of any research work. I highly appreciate the author's dedication to his/her work as it shows his/her scientific skill to put their findings in abstract for the scientific community. Structure and subsections are normal, not highly appreciated as I have seen that there are font problems in different paragraphs. The problem is different font sizes and font styles in whole manuscript. I recommend you to review it again to correct the fonts according to journal instructions. Yes scientifically manuscript is correct and helpful for searching out the findings of the researcher. References are sufficient but all are not recent. I highly recommend you that references should not be earlier than 2010. After 2010 literature references should be preferable and reasonable. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> English quality of the article is very good for scholarly communications. I highly appreciate that. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Ahmed Saqib
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture, Pakistan