

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_114622</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Identification, cause and surgical management of traumatic fibroma in the buccal mucosa: a case report</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This brief case study represents a concise and interesting report of the management of a benign hyperplastic fibroma that emerged secondary to chronic trauma of the buccal mucosa. The clinical rationale of work up and treatment is explained clearly, and the resolution of the case is satisfactory, with illustrative figures of the lesion's aetiology, gross anatomy and histopathology, with discussion of alternate strategies of surgical excision. The title is suitable and reflects the content of the manuscript. Subsections are natural and comprehensive. The abstract is comprehensive, but the language is somewhat stilted and requires minor revision. References seem suitable, relevant and recent.</p> <p>Figures 5 and 6 need a scale bar.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>In general, the language is scholarly and clear, though occasionally the grammar and mechanics are in need of revision for improved clarity. Please see my suggestions.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Alan Craig Harris Jr.</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Medicine, Virginia Commonwealth University, USA</b>