

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_115537
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of China's Belt and Road Initiative on Farmer's Income - Evidence from Sverdlovsk region
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community provide the minor corrections are done.</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable since the study is about the impact of China's Belt and Road Initiative on Farmer's Income where different businesses were part of the study.</p> <p>Yes, it is comprehensive, it covers all aspects that are important in a peer reviewed manuscript.</p> <p>Yes, they are subsections and structure is appropriate for this kind of scientific manuscript.</p> <p>Yes, it is since it is addressing a quantitative research design.</p> <p>Yes, they are sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English quality is fair, therefore the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Minor corrections should be made as stated in the manuscript itself.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	TJ Nesengani
Department, University & Country	University of Limpopo, South Africa