

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_114747
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Fecundity, Mating Duration and Egg Retention of Muga Silkworm, <i>Antheraea assamensis</i> (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) in Natural and Mechanical Mating
Type of the Article	Scientific Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript content's has nice message to applied for the silkworm breeder 2. The title is represent the content of the manuscript just the method is not entirely written clearly 3. Abstract is written well, just lack of the Grammarly 4. Not really, in the part of Material and methods, some procedure is missing 5. The method still not really deeply explain. 6. Reference are related but in the discussion many texts is not supported with the reference/citations 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	YES	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	This work is giving fundamental knowledge about silkworm on how to increase the production of the egg, egg retention and etc. I suggested this work to publish after the authors make a revision on the comments I attached	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sri ItaTarigan
Department, University & Country	Universitas Kristen Wira Wacana, Indonesia