

SULPHUR NUTRITION AND ITS ROLE IN OILSEED CROPS PRODUCTION:A REVIEW

Abstract

India is a significant importer of edible oils and an important producer of oilseed. India stands as 4th leading oilseeds producing countries after USA, China and Brazil. Oilseed has become one of the most valuable agricultural commodities. Sulphur is one of the essential secondary nutrient **micronutrients** that is need for higher yield and quality of oilseed crops. With an average concentration of 0.06 percent, it is the 13th most prevalent element in the crust of the earth. It is referred to as the 4th major nutrient after N, P and K because crops need sulphur slightly less than they require P. It plays an important role in formation of plant proteins, amino acids, vitamins, enzymes. It is an integral part of amino acid such as cystine, cysteine and methionine which are essential components of protein. Plant can absorb sulphur in the form of sulphate (SO_4^{2-}) which is decomposes into sulphur. It is a factor for the determination of higher yield **seed** and quality of seed that content oil in oilseed crops. Since, sulphur is immobile in plants, it must be continuously supplied from crop emergence till maturity. So, reduced yield can come sulphur shortage at any stage of growth. It can be overcome by supplying sulphur containing fertilizers to plant by soil application and foliar application. Among the source of sulphur, elemental sulphur and gypsum are widely utilized in sulphur deficiency.

Keywords: Oilseed, Sulphur, deficiency, quality, nutrient uptake.

1. INTRODUCTION

India is the 4th largest producer country of oilseed crops after USA, China, Brazil; contributing 10% to global production and 20% to the global area. Oilseed self-sufficiency achieved during the “Yellow Revolution” in the early 1990s could only last for a limited time[1] (*Roy et al., 2022*). India is also one of the major oils importing country in the world. In India, seven edible oilseeds such as groundnut, sunflower, niger and sesame are cultivated. And also, two perennial oilseeds are coconut and palm oil.

The largest oilseed production states in India include Andhra Pradesh, Haryana, Gujarat, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal. The major producing states are Rajasthan, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh and

Maharashtra with respective shares of the total production of about 20%, 20%, 19% and 16%. Oilseeds have a lot of fat in addition to having a lot of protein. Therefore, they are not only good of protein, also concentrated source of energy [2](Sarwaret *al.*, 2013). Oilseeds are a vital component of our nutrition and the oil extracted from them is used to create many different goods, such as paints, varnishes, hydrogenated oil, soaps, perfumes, lubricants and other. Oil cake, the leftover materials after oilseeds have been depleted of their oil, is an essential part of both manure and animal feed. Polyunsaturated fatty acids that are utilized to treat coronary heart problems. Growing oilseeds may significantly alter the diet of human, improving food security and creating income generation.

Sulphur is an essential macronutrient, in accordance with its chemical nature it plays significant role in a number of cellular metabolic process [3](Hawkesford *et al.*, 2005). It is a nutrient that oilseed crops respond to when applied and they also have the highest sulphur needs when compared to other crops. This is because sulphur plays a role in the biosynthesis of oil. Sulphur containing amino acids are cysteine, cystine and methionine, which makes it an essential factor for plant growth (Parmar *et al.*, 2018). These amino acids contain almost 90% of the sulphur present in plant (Tandon and Messick, 2002). Sulphur is required for the production of co-enzyme A, which is involved in the oxidation of fatty acids, their synthesis and the intermediates of citric acid cycle (Raghuveer M, 2017). Sulphur is utilized as a pesticide, a plant nutrient for increasing yield and quality of oilseed crops, an amendment for amelioration and a chemical agent (Kanwar and Mudahar, 1986). Oilseed crops can only produce high yields and high-quality products if they have access to the optimum amount of sulphur. Additionally, it enhances the availability of other nutrients like phosphorus, potassium, zinc and suppress the uptake of sodium and chlorine, which are harmful to plant growth and development. Oil crops need sulphur to produce glucosinolates.

Oilseed productivity is low for a various factor, due to inadequate and unbalanced fertilization is the most important one. One of the main causes of imbalanced fertilization **fertigation** is sulphur deficiency. Sulphur deficiency causes by decreasing the supply of sulphate, which delays the crop maturity and reduces the plant height and quality (Hawkesford, 2000; Dobermann, 2000). And also reduces oil content and quality of oilseeds (Scherer, 2001). Sulphur deficiency in coarse texture soils is due to low availability of organic matter (Takkar, 1988).

2.REQUIREMENT OF SULPHUR

Several researchers have determined the S need for oilseed crops in the field (Banu *et al.*, 2017; Tandon 1991a, 1991b; Aulakh and Pasricha, 1988; Pasricha *et al.*, 1988 and Kanwar *et al.*, 1983). The Sulphur requirement of oilseeds can be satisfied by using a number a S-containing substances including phosphogypsum, elemental S, gypsum and pyrite. In general, applying 30-40 kg S/ha to groundnut was more beneficial (Singh *et al.*, 1991b; Kale, 1993; Patra *et al.*, 1995). Gypsum added in the pegging zone at flowering at a rate of 250 kg/ha improved pod yield by 20.5% in the demonstration plots (Singh *et al.*, 1991). In general, the ratio (P:S) of the S needs of crops in comparison to P is 1.3 for cereals, 0.8 for legume forages and 0.6 for crucifers. It appears that S uptake in oilseeds is almost two times as high as phosphorus uptake (Tiwari *et al.*, 2006).

3.ROLE OF SULPHUR IN OILSEEDS

Sulphur is mostly absorbed by plant roots as sulphate (SO_4^{-2}), but it can also be taken up as thiosulphate ($\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{-2}$). In addition, leaves can absorb a little amount of SO_2 (Havlin *et al.*, 2005). The amount of sulphur present in oilseed crops is (1.1-1.7). Less sulphur is applied to oilseed crops, which results in the production of low-quality seed (Haneklaus *et al.*, 2016). In rapeseed-mustard, sulphur is necessary to enhance the quantity of glucosides, glucosinolate and protein (Chandel *et al.*, 2003). According to the experiment's finding, applying sulphur at a rate of 60 kg/ha significantly affected the amount of glucosinolate present and oil percentage increased by 44.6%.

Oilseed crops responded better to specific nutrient and irrigation during the grain filling stage in order to reduce derivatives of oil components. Sulphur must be added to oil to ensure the components that gives it flavour (Nepali and Bhandari, 2019). When sulphur fertilizer levels increased from 0 to 60 kg S kg^{-1} , the content of allyl isothiocyanate in mustard seeds increased (Chandele *et al.*, 2003). Sulphur has a crucial function in the production of protein, vital enzymes and redox processes, which makes it essential for the formation of chloroplasts and chlorophyll. Sulphur is also associated with nodulation, flowering and the quality of oilseeds, particularly groundnut (Rao *et al.*, 2013).

4.SULPHUR AND QUALITY OF CROP

Sulphur is involved in oil synthesis and oil storage organs which are rich in sulphur. Despite the composition of plant protein and oil, variations in the supply of S for plant growth change

the quantity of various kind of chemicals and the composition overall. Sulphur improves the forage's digestibility for ruminants (Rendig, 1986). In recent study, Zhao *et al.*, (1997) found that S enhanced the glucosinolate content of rapeseed, which was decreased by fertilizer and they recommended using N and S fertilizers in balance as a result. Less erucic acid and linolenic and higher oleic acid were found in the seed form S deficient rapeseed crop (Beaton and Fox, 1971). The increase in protein content as a result of S application have been reported to be 14% in soybeans (Aulakh *et al.*, 1990), 6% in lentils (Tiwari, 1989), 19% in sunflower (Gangadhara *et al.*, 1990), 28-34% in rapeseed and mustard (Pasricha *et al.*, 1987). The S is a component of oil compounds and applying it raises the oil content of the majority of oilseeds. With S fertilization, an average 5% increase in oil content has been observed (Pasricha *et al.*, 1987). However according to research, S application increased oil percentage on average by 11.3% in groundnut, 9.6% in rapeseed-mustard, 6% in linseed and 2-3.8% in safflower, sunflower and sesame (Tandon 1991a). Singh and Singh (1983a) in a study examined different sources of S for seed and oil yields, sulphur and oil contents and S uptake by mustard and discovered that all of these increased with each source of S, but element S was the best. Sunflower seed weight and head diameter increased after S application (Gangwar and Parmeswaran, 1996a). In rapeseed-mustard, the S application increased oil yield by 16% and oil content by 3.4% (Sachdeva and Dev, 1990).

5. SOURCE OF SULPHUR FOR VARIOUS OILSEED CROPS

Ammonium sulphate (24%S), single super phosphate (12%S), gypsum (13%S), pyrite (22-30%S) and element S (85- 100% S) are the most widely used S fertilizers. The other sources of S are potassium sulphate (18% S), magnesium sulphate (13% S), phosphogypsum (16% S), sulphur sludge (10-16% S), press mud (2-3% S). For most of the oilseed crops, the S fertilizers containing SO_4^{-2} -S were effective (Tandon, 1991a). Applying pyrites and elemental sulphur 20-25 days before planting is recommended since elemental sulphur requires oxidation, pyrites is a slow-release fertilizer (Tiwari *et al.*, 1984). Gypsum and phosphogypsum are suitable for both alkaline and acidic soils, however they are more suited for crops that need a lot of Calcium, such as groundnut (Rao *et al.*, 2013). The ammonium sulphate corrects both the N and S deficiency and is the best suited for oilseed crops that do not fix nitrogen. The single super phosphate is a good source of S and P necessary for integrated P and S application in most oilseeds.




Fig 1: Sulphur Cycle

Source: RaghuveerMunigela, Effect of sulphur on oilseed crops. Nov. 29, 2018.

6. DEFICIENCY SYMPTOMS OF SULPHUR IN OILSEED CROPS

Since only nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium managed nutrients in India, there are significant sulphur deficiency problems (Tandon, 1991). There is a wide spread sulphur deficiency in the world's soil (Scherer, 2009). The main symptom of sulphur nutrient deficiency is yellowing of younger leaves, which may be caused by inadequate chlorophyll production. Sulphur is immobile in plant so its deficiency appears on young leaves. Plant deficient in sulphur such as small and spindly with short, slender stalks, growth retarded, delay maturity, reduced nitrogen fixation, leaves become pale-yellow or light green. Deficiency of sulphur mostly observed in the shoots rather than the roots, which causes plants become stunted and shoot become slender (Karthika *et al.*, 2018). Sulphur deficiency leads to reduced root hydraulic conductivity, which is likely associated with signalling nutrient starvation from root to shoot. Additionally, sulphur deficiency causes an imbalance between the ratio of nitrogen and sulphur pool and increases the soluble nitrogen with amide and nitrate. When there is a sulphur deficiency in groundnuts, trifoliolate leaves grow and take on a V-shape because they are upright from the petiole (Sing *et al.*, 1999). Young leaves of groundnuts frequently develop chlorosis due to sulphur deficiency (Supakamnerdet *et al.*, 1993; Singh and Chaudhari, 1995). In rapeseeds, the young leaves become cupped shape with various shape ranging from pale green to complete yellow, including the vein, which can

occasionally take on reddish or purple. In soyabeans, the newly emerging leaves still have a pale yellow-green, indicating a deficit of sulphur. In case of severe deficiency, the entire plant becomes pale yellow and the size of leaves and internodes are reduced. In sunflower, shorter internodes cause a reduction in plant height and the leaves and inflorescence both become pale.

7. ASSESSMENT OF SULPHUR IN SOIL AND PLANT SAMPLE

a) ASSESSMENT OF SULPHUR IN SOIL ANALYSIS:

Different techniques can be used to determine the amount of sulphur in soil, however in India the most common way is to use a 0.15% CaCl_2 solution (Kour and Jalali, 2008). This approach is mostly used for sulphur deficient soils or soils with less than 10ppm of sulphate. The method should be reliable and appropriate for the crop (Jackson, 2011).

b) ASSESSMENT OF SULPHUR IN PLANT ANALYSIS:

The level of sulphur in the soil can be determined using basic analytical techniques. There are two ways to assess sulphur in plants: wet digestion and dry digestion. In wet digestion, HNO_3 and HClO_4 can be used, while dry ashing can be used to extract sulphur from plant digest (Mahanta *et al.*, 2017).

8. APPLICATION OF SULPHUR FERTILIZERS

The main ways for applying sulphur fertilizers in soils are broadcast or dribble banded, broadcast and subsequent incorporation, band placement, seed placement and banded near the seed. Broadcasting and band placement techniques are two of them that are frequently used. Sulphur fertilizer use efficiency can be increased by managing sulphur fertilization in soil using the 4R nutrient stewardship approach (Right Source of nutrient applied at the Right Rate, Right Time and Right Place). To prevent the loss of nutritional element S, scientific management techniques can be used. For both a short-term and long-term source of plant nutrition, a mixture of soluble SO_4^{2-} and elemental S may be helpful.

9. EFFECT OF SULPHUR ON NUTRIENT UPTAKE OF OILSEED

In an experiment on groundnuts, Venkatesh *et al.*, (2002) found that gypsum application at 45kg/ha of sulphur increased S uptake by straw (4.62 kg/ha) and kernel (10.37 kg/ha) over control (4.39 kg/ha, 1.91 kg/ha). The use of ammonium sulphate and the application of 20 kg

S/ha during two consecutive years resulted in sulphur use efficiency of 9.7, 10.1 kg/S kg⁻¹ and 15.9, 3.8 kg/S kg⁻¹ (Pandey and Ali, 2012). The uptake of N (131.0 kg/ha), P (31.1 kg/ha), K (87.92 kg/ha) and S (27.47 kg/ha) by mustard was significantly impacted due to the application of 90 kg S/ha over control (83.86, 19.8, 53.91, 11.20 kg/ha) as noted by Pachauri and Trivedi, 2012. Application of S enhanced the concentration and uptake of N, P, S, Fe and Zn but did no effect on the concentration of K, Mg, Mn or Cu in ground nut leaves (Singh and Chaudhari, 1995). Sulphur uptake (20.7 kg/ha) in Indian mustard has been reported highest because of 45 kg/ha Sulphur application. Under a 40 kg S/ha application, mustard's uptake of total N (39.34 kg/ha), total P (10.67 kg/ha) and total S (17.73 kg/ha) was considerably impacted (Singh and Thenua, 2016).

10. CONCLUSION

In addition to promoting yield and quality, sulphur enhances the oil content in oilseed crops, contributing to better nutritional value. It also plays an important role in growth and development of plant. Deficiency of sulphur caused growth retarded and yield. Gypsum, pyrites, element S and phosphogypsum are the sources that can provide sulphur. It can also be applied using fertilizers that contain primary nutrient like ammonium sulphate, single super phosphate and sulphate of potash. Oilseeds need to produce a good yield along with good quality and this can only be sustained when the plant receives enough sulphur. To achieve the best production results in oilseed crops, sulphur application must be balanced according to crop requirements and soil condition.

REFERENCES

- A. Dobermann. Rice: Nutrient disorder and nutrient management. *International Rice research Institute* 2000.
- Aulakh, M.S. and Pasricha, N.S. (1988). Sulphur fertilizer of oilseeds for yield and quality. *Proc. TSI-FAI Symposium on Sulphur in Indian Agriculture*, New Delhi, India. SII/3: 1-14.
- Aulakh, M. S. *et al* 1990. P-S interrelationships for soybean on P and S deficient soil. *Soil Science* 150, 705-709.

- Banu, R., Shroff, J.C. and Shah, S.N. (2017). Effect of sources and levels of sulphur and biofertilizer on growth, yield and quality of summer groundnut. *International Journal of Agronomy Science*. 13(1): 67-70.
- Beaton, J.D. and R.L. Fox (1971). Production, marketing and use of sulphur products pp.335-379. In R.A. Olsen *et al.* (eds). *Fertilizer technology and use, 2nd ed. Soil Science Society*. America, Madison, WI.
- Chandel, R.S., Sudhakar, P.C. and Singh, K., (2003). Response of sulphur nutrition in mustard—A review. *Agricultural Reviews*, 24(3),175-182.
- Dr. Kumar V. and Tiwari A., (2020). Sparking Yellow Revolution in India Again. *Department of Economic Analysis and Research*: 1pp.
- Gangasaran and G. Giri, (1990). Influence of N, P and S on mustard under semiarid rainfed conditions of north west India. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 35:131-136.
- Gangwar, M.S. and P.M. Parmeswaran, (1976a). Phosphorus-sulphur relationship in sunflower. I Effect of P and S on yield. *Oilseed Journal*. 6 (3): 28-32.
- Haneklaus, S., Bloem, E., Schnug, E., de Kok, L.J. and Stulen, I. (2016). Sulfur. *Plant Nutrition*, 7,183.
- Hawkesford MJ. Plant responses to sulphur deficiency and the genetic manipulation of sulphate transporters to improve S-utilization efficiency. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 2000 Jan; 51(342):131-8. PMID: 10938804.
- Hawkesford, M.J. Sulfur. In *Nutritional Genomics*; Broadley, M.R., White, P., Eds.; Blackwell Publishers: Oxford, UK, 2005; pp 87-111.
- Havin, J.L., Beaton, J.D., Tisdale, S.L. and Nelson, W.L. Soil fertility and Fertilizers: An introduction to nutrient management. 7, ed. New Jersey, Pearson Prentice Hall, 2005. 528p.
- H.W. Scherer. Sulphur in crop production. *Euporean Journal of Agronomy* 2001: 81-111.
- Jackson, D., (2011). Soils, nutrients and water. *Temperate and Subtropical Fruit Production*, p.101.
- Kale, M.R. 1993. Effect of sulfur on growth and yield of groundnut. *Indian Journal Agronomy* 38(3):504-505.

- Kanwar, J.S. and M.S. Mudahar, 1986. Fertilizer Sulphur and Food Production. *MartinusNijhoff/ Dr W. Junk Publisher*, Dordrecht 247p.
- Kanwar, J.S., Nijhawan, H.L. and Raheja, S.K. (1983). Groundnut Nutrition and Fertilizer Responses in India. ICAR New Delhi: 185p.
- Karthika, K.S., Rashmi, I. and Parvathi, M.S., (2018). Biological functions, uptake and transport of essential nutrients in relation to plant growth. In *Plant nutrients and abiotic stress tolerance* Springer, Singapore, pp. 1-49.
- Kour, S. and Jalali, V.K., 2008. Forms of sulphur and their relationships in soils of different agro-climatic zones of Jammu region. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*, 56(3),309-312.
- Mahanta, P.L., Singh, A.K., Radhamani, R. and Rao, D.P. (2017). Determination of Total Sulfur and Sulfate Sulfur D in Geological Materials of Rocks, Soils, and Sediments by ICP-OES After Sample Digestion Using Alkali Flux. *Atomic Spectroscopy*, 38, 99.
- Manoj Pandey, Javed Ali. Effect of sources and levels of sulphur on sulphur uptake, yield and quality of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum*). *Annals of Agricultural Research* New Series 2012;33(1-2):32-35.
- MJ Hawkesford. Plant responses to sulphur deficiency and the genetic manipulation of sulphate transporters to improve S- utilization efficiency. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 2000.
- Naphade, P. S. and S. G. Wankhede, 1988. Response of groundnut to different levels and source of S. *PKV Research Journal* 12: 71-73.
- Nepali, B. and Bhandari, D. (2019). Enhancing the Yield and Quality of Oilseed Crops in Nepal Through Application of Sulphur Fertilizers. *Big Data in Agriculture (BDA)*, 1(2), 10-11.
- Parmar NN, Patel AP, Chaudhary M. Effects of Sources and Levels of Sulphur on Growth, Yield and Quality of Summer Sesame South Gujarat Condition (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *IJCMAS* 2018; 7(2): 2600-2605.
- Pasricha, N.S., M.S. Aulakh, G.S. Bahl and H.S. Baddesh, 1987. Nutritional requirement of oilseed and pulse crops in Punjab. *Department of Soils*. PAU, Ludhiana.

- Pasricha, N.S., Aulakh, M.S., Sahota, N.S. and Baddesh, H.S. (1988). Fertilizer use research in oilseed crops. *Fertilizer News*. 33(9): 15-22.
- Patra, A. K. Tripathy, S. K. and Samui, R.C. (1995). Response of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea*L.) to sulphur. *Indian Journal of Agriculture, Sci.*65 (80: 597-598).
- Raghuveer M. Effect of Sulphur on Oilseed Crops. *Biotect Articles* 2017.
- Rending V. V. 1986. Sulphur and crop quality. In Sulphur in Agriculture (M. A. Tabatabai eds). pp. 635-653. *Agronomy monograph no. 27, American Society of Agronomy, Madson, Wisconsin, USA.*
- Raman Kumar Pachauri, Trivedi SK. Effect of sulphur levels on growth, yield and quality of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) genotypes. *Annals of Agriscultural Research New Series* 2012;33(3):131-135.
- Rao, K. T., Rao, A. U., & Sekhar, D. (2013). Effect of sources and levels of sulphur on groundnut. *Journal of Academia and Industrial Research*, 2(5), 268-270.
- Roy, A., Singh, K.M., Kumari, T., Ahmad, N., Singh, R.P. and Sinha, D.K. (2022). Dynamics of Oilseeds Production and Decomposition of output Components of Oilseeds in Bihar. *Economic Affairs*, 67(02): 23-29.
- Sachdev, M. S. and D.L. Dev 1990. Nitrogen and S uptake and efficiency in mustard-moong-maize cropping system. *Fertilizer News* 35(7): 49-55.
- Sarwar M. F., Sarwar M. H., Sarwar M., Qadri N. A., Moghal S (2013). The role of oilseeds nutrition in human health. *Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds*: 97pp.
- Scherer H.W. (2009): Sulphur in soils. *Journal of Plant Nutrient and Soil Science*, 172: 326-335.
- Singh, A.L. and V. Chaudhari, 1995. Source and mode of sulphur application on groundnut productivity. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*. 18: 2739-2759.
- Singh, A.L. (1999). Sulphur Nutrition of Oilseed Crops. *Advances in Plant Physiology (Ed. A.Hemantranjan)*, 2,201-226.
- Singh, B. P. and H. G. Singh, 1983a. Comparative efficacy of S on production of green matter, mineral composition and uptake of mustard on Vertisols. *Forage Res.* 9:37-41.

- Singh M. V. 1991. Proc. 18th workshop meeting and results of practical utility. All India Coordinated Scheme of Micro and secondary Nutrients and Pollutants Elements in soils and Crops. *Indian Institute of Soil Science*. Bhopal.
- Singh SB, Thenua OVS. Effects of phosphorus and sulphur fertilization on yield and NPS uptake by mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Progressive Research-An International Journal* 2016;11(1):80-83.
- Supakamnerd, N., B. Dell and R.W. Bell (1990): Diagonosis of sulphur deficiency in peanut (*Arachishypogagea*) by plant analysis. In: M.L. Van Beusichem (Ed) Plant Nutrition-Physiology and application. XIth IPNC 30 July 4 August 1989, Wageningen, Netherland Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht pp.791-795.
- Takkar PN. Sulphur status of Indian soils. Proc. TSI-FAI Symp. *Sulphur in Indian Agriculture*, New Delhi 1988. S/I/2/1-31.
- Tandon, H.L.S. (1991a). Sulphur Research and Agriculture Production in India 3rd edition FDCO, New Delhi, India.
- Tandon, H.L.S. (1991b). Secondary and micronutrients in Agriculture-Guide Book-cum-Directory. 2nd Ed. FDCO, New Delhi, India: 122 pp.
- Tandon H.L. S. and Messick D.L. (2002): Practical Sulphur Guide. The Sulphur Institute, Washington, D.C. Pp. 20.
- Tiwari KN, Dwivedi BS, Pathak AN. Evaluation of iron pyrites as sulphur fertilizer. *Fertilizer Research*.1984; 5:235-243.
- Tiwari, K.N. (1989). Pyrites, pp. 72-83. In: H.L.S. Tandon (ed.) Sulphur Fertilizers for Indian Agriculture-A guide book. *Fertilizer Development and consultation organization*, New Delhi, India.
- Tiwari, K.N., Gupta, B.R. Sulphur for Sustainable High Yield Agriculture in Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Fertility*. 2006, 1, 37-52.
- Venkatesh MS, Majumdar B, Lal B, Kailash Kumar. Relative performance of sulphur sources on sulphur nutrition of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea*) in acid Alfisol of Meghalaya. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2002;72(4):216-9.

Zhao, F. J., P. J. A. Withers, E. J. Evans, J. Monaghan, S. E. Salmon, P. R. Shewry, and S.P. McGrath(1997). Sulphur nutrition: An important factor for the quality of wheat andrapeseed. In *Plant Nutrition-For Sustainable Food Production and Environment* (T. Ando et al Eds) pp 917-922. *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, Printed in Japan.

UNDER PEER REVIEW