

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_115075
Title of the Manuscript:	Etiology for Puncta and Canalicular Obstruction: A Comprehensive Analysis
Type of the Article	Literature Review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the puncta and canalicular obstruction need to be assessed to determine the cause, so that the treatment plan can be appropriate. It is better to mention the epidemiological event to emphasize the importance of these findings.</p> <p>It is better to use a more general title, as the article discusses not only etiology but also diagnostic examinations and treatment options.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Mostly yes, however I suggest that the writer include epidemiological aspect about this case to highlight the importance of the article</p> <p>Yes, majority of the references was <10 years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The written structure was well-written, but it is quite lengthy. I suggest condensing it to make it shorter and sharp.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Florentina Priscilia
Department, University & Country	Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia