

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_114192
Title of the Manuscript:	PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitor drug candidates for the treatment of hormone-resistant breast cancer: a systematic review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>[1] The manuscript is of great relevance to the scientific community.</p> <p>[2] The title is brief but enlightening for health professionals.</p> <p>[3] The abstract describes the subject, methodology, main results and conclusions well.</p> <p>[4] An online tool Rayyan QCRI (Mourad O. Hossam H.; Zbys F.; Ahmed E. 2016) could help with the blind review.</p> <p>[5] In general, the parts of the manuscript comply with scientific rigor.</p> <p>[6] For an integrative review study, the references are up-to-date.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The technical language of the manuscript is clear and a figure has been developed to further elucidate the text.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>An online tool Rayyan QCRI (Mourad O. Hossam H.; Zbys F.; Ahmed E. 2016) could help with the blind review.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thiago Victorino Claus
Department, University & Country	Franciscan University, Brazil